## Levenshtein's Conjecture for Sequence Covering Arrays

Dani Gentle (she/they)

School of Mathematics, Monash University For positive integers  $v \ge t$ :

- $[v] = \{0, \dots, v-1\}$
- $S_v$  denotes the set of permutations of [v]
- S<sub>v,t</sub> denotes the set of ordered t-sequences of distinct elements of [v]
- E.g.  $012 \in S_{5,3}$ ,  $011 \not\in S_{5,3}$ ,  $0123 \not\in S_{5,3}$

A sequence  $s \in S_{v,t}$  is covered by a permutation  $\pi \in S_v$  if the elements of s appear in  $\pi$  in the order specified by s

012 and 014 are both covered by 01234

A sequence  $s \in S_{v,t}$  is covered by a permutation  $\pi \in S_v$  if the elements of s appear in  $\pi$  in the order specified by s

012 and 014 are both covered by 01234

A sequence  $s \in S_{v,t}$  is covered by a permutation  $\pi \in S_v$  if the elements of s appear in  $\pi$  in the order specified by s

012 and 014 are both covered by 01234

## Definition

A set of permutations  $X \subseteq S_v$  with N = |X| is a sequence covering array, denoted SCA(N; v, t), if for every sequence  $s \in S_{v,t}$ , there exists  $\pi \in X$  that covers s.

- v is the order of X.
- *t* is the *strength* of *X*.

- For given v and t, what is the smallest N such that a SCA(N; v, t) exists?
- For what values for v and t does there exist a SCA(t!; v, t)?

Theorem (Levenshtein, 1992) For  $t \ge 3$ , a SCA(t!; t + 1, t) exists.

**Conjecture (Levenshtein, 1992)** For  $t \ge 3$ , if a SCA(t!; v, t) exists, then  $v \in \{t, t+1\}$ .

- A SCA(24; 6, 4) does exist (Mathon and van Trung, 1999). This the only known counter-example to Levenshtein's conjecture.
- A SCA(24; 7, 4) does not exist (Klein, 2004).
- The conjecture has been verified for  $t \in \{3, 5, 6\}$ .

**Theorem (Chee et al., 2013)** For  $t \ge 3$ , if a SCA(t!; v, t) exists, then  $v \le 2t - 1$ . Let A be an  $N \times k$  array with entries from [v]. A 2-way interaction is a set of 2 pairs

$$T = \{(c_1, \nu_1), (c_2, \nu_2)\},\$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are distinct columns of A and  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are elements of [v].

A covers T if there exists a row r of A such that  $A[r, c_1] = \nu_1$  and  $A[r, c_2] = \nu_2$ .

## Definition

An *interaction covering array*, denoted ICA(N; 2, k, v), is an  $N \times k$  array with entries from [v] that covers all 2-way interactions.

$c_1$	<i>c</i> <sub>2</sub>	c <sub>3</sub>	С4	<i>C</i> 5
1	1	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1

Table 1: A strength 2 interaction covering array

Let  $T = \{(c_1, \nu_1), (c_2, \nu_2)\}$ . Then T is either

- a constant pair, if  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ , or
- a non-constant pair, if  $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2$ .

An interaction covering array has excess coverage, denoted  $ICA_X(N; 2, k, v)$ , if each constant pair is covered at least twice.

Theorem (Chee et al., 2013) For  $v \ge 4$ , If an ICA<sub>X</sub>(v(v + 1); 2, k, v) exists, then  $k \le v + 2$ . Let S be a SCA(t!; v, t).

Choose a sequence in  $x \in S_{v,t-2}$  and let  $R \subset S$  be the set of t(t-1) permutations that cover x.

Construct a  $t(t-1) \times (v-t+2)$  array, A, whose rows and columns are indexed by the elements of R and the symbols not in x.

A[r, c] is the number of symbols in x that appear to the left of c in the permutation r. Then A is an ICA<sub>X</sub>(t(t-1); 2, v - t + 2, t - 1).

A row is *flat* if it contains only one symbol.

An *orthogonal array* is an ICA in which every interaction is covered exactly once.

An ICA<sub>X</sub>(v(v + 1); 2, k, v) contains an orthogonal array if and only if it has flat rows for each symbol.

**Corollary (G.)** An ICA<sub>X</sub>(v(v + 1); 2, v + 1, v) exists when v is a prime power. **Lemma (G.)** If v!/(v - t + 2)! > t!, and a SCA(t!, v, t) exists, then a non-OA ICA<sub>X</sub> must exist.

If v = t + 2, this inequality holds for  $t \ge 4$ .

V	.,	Maximum number of columns			
	V	OA	non-OA		
	3	4	4		
	4	5	5		
	5	6	5		
	6	3	5		

These results were found by computer search.

The non-existence of an  $ICA_X(42; 2, 6, 6)$  shows a SCA(7!; 11, 7) does not exist.

There is a unique  $ICA_X(42; 2, 5, 6)$ .

- It's a non-OA,
- No flat rows,
- Every row contains a repeated symbol.

The existence of an ICA<sub>X</sub>(42; 2, 5, 6) suggests a SCA(7!; 10, 7) could exist.

**Theorem (G.)** A SCA(7!; 10, 7) does not exist.

**Proof.** Suppose a SCA(7!; 10, 7) exists and contains the identity.

Consider the ICA<sub>X</sub>(42; 2, 5, 6) built from the sequence x = 01234.

This array should have a flat row but no such  $ICA_X$  exists.

Alternatively,

**Theorem (G.)** A SCA(7!; 10, 7) does not exist.

**Proof.** Suppose a SCA(7!; 10, 7) exists and contains the identity.

Consider the ICA<sub>X</sub>(42; 2, 5, 6) built from the sequence x = 02468.

This array should have a row with no repeated symbols but no such  $ICA_X$  exists.

- Is the max number of columns for an ICA<sub>X</sub> v + 1 or v + 2?
- Find a general construction for non-OA  $ICA_X$ .
- Explore connections between SCAs and ICA<sub>X</sub> to make further progress on Levenshtein's conjecture.

Thank you!