# 45<sup>th</sup> Australasian Combinatorics Conference (45ACC) UWA, Perth 2023

# Safe Sets and Dominating Sets of Graphs

P. Kaemawichanurat King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi Joint work with

S. Fujita and M. Furuya Yokohama City University









The minimum cardinality of a dominating set is called the domination number of G denoted by  $\gamma(G)$ .

 $\gamma(G) = 3$ 









# |V(C)| < |V(H)|



|V(C)| < |V(H)|











The minimum cardinality of a safe set of G is called the safe number of G and is denoted by s(G).



The minimum cardinality of a safe set of G is called the safe number of G and is denoted by s(G).



# s(G) = 4

The historical origin of study of dominating sets in graphs began in 1862 when De Jaenisch studied the problem of finding the minimum number of queens that have to be placed on an  $n \times n$  chessboard so that they dominate all the cells in the board.



Photo from : www.quora.com/How many queens are required to cover every square in an 8\*8 chessboard?

The concept of the domination number of a graph was introduced by Claude Berge in 1958 in his book on graph theory (the terminology used by him was 'coefficient of external stability').



Photo from: https://users.encs.concordia.ca/~chvatal/perfect/spgt.html

In 1962, Oystien Ore in his book on graph theory, used the names 'dominating set'and 'domination number'.



Photo from: https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Ore/

In 1962, Oystien Ore in his book on graph theory, used the names 'dominating set'and 'domination number'.

A thousand research papers related to domination have been published until now.



Photo from: https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Ore/

### **2016** Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

S. Fujita, G. MacGillivray, T. Sakuma, Safe set problem on graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 215 (2016) 106-111.

#### 2016 Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.

S. Fujita, G. MacGillivray, T. Sakuma, Safe set problem on graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 215 (2016) 106-111.

#### 2016 Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.
- the problem of seeking safe set with a given cardinality is NP-complete.

S. Fujita, G. MacGillivray, T. Sakuma, Safe set problem on graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 215 (2016) 106-111.

#### 2016 **Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma** introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.
  the problem of seeking safe set with a given cardinality is NP-complete.

The problem has received much attention over the past 7 years, algorithm aspect in particular.

# **2016** Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.
   the problem of seeking safe set with a given cardinality is NP-complete.

#### The problem has received much attention over the past 7 years, algorithm aspect in particular.

2018 **Águeda et al.** provided an efficient algorithm for computing the safe number of unweighted graphs with bounded treewidth.

> R.Águeda, N. Cohen, S. Fujita, S. Legay, Y. Manoussakis, Y. Matsui, L. Montero, R. Naserasr, H. Ono, Y. Otachi, T. Sakuma, Z. Tuza, R. Xu, Safe sets in graphs: Graph classes and structural parameters. Journal of Combinatorial Optimization, 36 (2018) 1221-1242.

#### **2016** Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.
  the problem of seeking safe set with a given cardinality is NP-complete.

#### The problem has received much attention over the past 7 years, algorithm aspect in particular.

**Águeda et al.** provided an efficient algorithm for computing the safe number of unweighted graphs 2018 with bounded treewidth.

Bapat et al. showed that computing the connected weighted safe number for stars and trees is NP-hard.

> R. B. Bapat, S. Fujita, S. Legay, Y. Manoussakis, Y. Matsui, T. Sakuma, Z. Tuza, Weighted safe set problem on trees, Networks, 71 (2018) 81–92.

#### **2016** Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.
- the problem of seeking safe set with a given cardinality is NP-complete.

#### The problem has received much attention over the past 7 years, algorithm aspect in particular.

**2018 Águeda et al.** provided an efficient algorithm for computing the safe number of unweighted graphs with bounded treewidth.

**Bapat et al.** showed that computing the connected weighted safe number for stars and trees is NP-hard.

**Fujita and Furuya** bounded connected safe number by the minimum value of the size summation between any set and its largest component outside, they called it "integrity" of graphs.

S. Fujita and M. Furuya, Safe number and integrity of graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 247 (2018) 398–406.

#### **2016** Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.
- the problem of seeking safe set with a given cardinality is NP-complete.

#### The problem has received much attention over the past 7 years, algorithm aspect in particular.

**2018 Águeda et al.** provided an efficient algorithm for computing the safe number of unweighted graphs with bounded treewidth.

**Bapat et al.** showed that computing the connected weighted safe number for stars and trees is NP-hard.

**Fujita and Furuya** bounded connected safe number by the minimum value of the size summation between any set and its largest component outside, they called it "integrity" of graphs.

**2020** Ehard and Rautenbach showed a polynomial-time approximation scheme (PTAS) for the connected safe number of vertex weighted trees

S. Ehard and D. Rautenbach, Approximating connected safe sets in weighted trees, Discrete Applied Mathematics 281 (2020) 216–223.

#### **2016** Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.
- the problem of seeking safe set with a given cardinality is NP-complete.

#### The problem has received much attention over the past 7 years, algorithm aspect in particular.

**2018 Águeda et al.** provided an efficient algorithm for computing the safe number of unweighted graphs with bounded treewidth.

**Bapat et al.** showed that computing the connected weighted safe number for stars and trees is NP-hard.

**Fujita and Furuya** bounded connected safe number by the minimum value of the size summation between any set and its largest component outside, they called it "integrity" of graphs.

**2020** Ehard and Rautenbach showed a polynomial-time approximation scheme (PTAS) for the connected safe number of vertex weighted trees

Hosteins introduced a mixed integer linear programing formulation for safe sets

P. Hosteins, A compact mixed integer linear formulation for safe set problems, Optimization Letters 14 (2020) 2127-2148.

#### **2016** Fujita, MacGillivray and Sakuma introduced the concept of safe set.

- The motivation concerned "Facility location Problem", securing people in temporary safe living spaces in case of emergency.
- the problem of seeking safe set with a given cardinality is NP-complete.

**2017** Fujita and I, we met in combinatorics conference in Poland and started our collaboration ever since.

In this talk, we give a guideline of the proof to show that

In this talk, we give a guideline of the proof to show that

**Theorem 1** Let G be a graph with the maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Then

$$f(\Delta) \leq s(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\gamma(G)(\Delta+1)}{2} \rceil$$

where 
$$f(\Delta) = \frac{\gamma+6}{3}$$
 when  $\Delta = 2$  and  $f(\Delta) = \frac{\Delta^2 - 2\Delta - 3 + \sqrt{(2\Delta - \Delta^2 + 3)^2 + 4(3\Delta + \gamma(G))(\Delta - 2)}}{2(\Delta - 2)}$  when  $\Delta \ge 3$ .

By studying variations of safe set and domination in the "connected" aspect, we show that
By studying variations of safe set and domination in the "connected" aspect, we show that

**Theorem 2** Let G be a connected graph. Then

$$g(\Delta) \le s_c(G) \le \lceil \frac{\gamma_c(G)(\Delta-1)+2}{2} \rceil$$

where 
$$g(\Delta) = \frac{\gamma_c(G)+2}{3}$$
 when  $\Delta = 2$  and  $g(\Delta) = \frac{\Delta - 5 + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 4(\Delta - 2)\gamma_c(G) + 9}}{2(\Delta - 2)}$  when  $\Delta \ge 3$ .

The upper bounds of both theorems are shown to be sharp. Further, we characterize all graphs satisfying the lower bound of each theorem.

**Observation** Let X be a vertex subset of t vertices of a graph G. If G[X] is connected, then

$$\sum_{v \in X} deg_{G-X}(v) \le \Delta t - 2t + 2.$$

**Observation** Let X be a vertex subset of t vertices of a graph G. If G[X] is connected, then

$$\sum_{v \in X} deg_{G-X}(v) \le \Delta t - 2t + 2.$$



**Observation** Let X be a vertex subset of t vertices of a graph G. If G[X] is connected, then

$$\sum_{v \in X} deg_{G-X}(v) \le \Delta t - 2t + 2.$$



*G*[*X*] *is connected* 

**Observation** Let X be a vertex subset of t vertices of a graph G. If G[X] is connected, then



Proof of Theorem 1 (sketch)

**Theorem 1** Let G be a graph with the maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Then

$$f(\Delta) \leq s(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\gamma(G)(\Delta+1)}{2} \rceil$$

where 
$$f(\Delta) = \frac{\gamma+6}{3}$$
 when  $\Delta = 2$  and  $f(\Delta) = \frac{\Delta^2 - 2\Delta - 3 + \sqrt{(2\Delta - \Delta^2 + 3)^2 + 4(3\Delta + \gamma(G))(\Delta - 2)}}{2(\Delta - 2)}$  when  $\Delta \ge 3$ .

*Proof of* Theorem 1 (*sketch*)

**Upper bound** 

Proof of Theorem 1 (sketch)

**Upper bound** 









 $\therefore |V(G)| \le (\Delta + 1)|D| = (\Delta + 1)\gamma(G)$ 



Since  $s(G) \leq [|V(G)|/2]$ ,



Since  $s(G) \leq [|V(G)|/2], s(G) \leq [(\Delta + 1)\gamma(G)/2]$ 

## **Upper bound**

Some extremal graphs satisfying the equality  $s(G) = \left[ (\Delta + 1)\gamma(G)/2 \right]$  are



## **Upper bound**

Some extremal graphs satisfying the equality  $s(G) = \left[ (\Delta + 1)\gamma(G)/2 \right]$  are



#### Lower bound

**Theorem 1** Let G be a graph with the maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Then

$$f(\Delta) \le s(G) \le \lceil \frac{\gamma(G)(\Delta+1)}{2} \rceil$$

where 
$$f(\Delta) = \frac{\gamma+6}{3}$$
 when  $\Delta = 2$  and  $f(\Delta) = \frac{\Delta^2 - 2\Delta - 3 + \sqrt{(2\Delta - \Delta^2 + 3)^2 + 4(3\Delta + \gamma(G))(\Delta - 2)}}{2(\Delta - 2)}$  when  $\Delta \ge 3$ .

\_

#### Lower bound

**Theorem 1** Let G be a graph with the maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Then

$$f(\Delta) \le s(G) \le \lceil \frac{\gamma(G)(\Delta+1)}{2} \rceil$$

where 
$$f(\Delta) = \frac{\gamma+6}{3}$$
 when  $\Delta = 2$  and  $f(\Delta) = \frac{\Delta^2 - 2\Delta - 3 + \sqrt{(2\Delta - \Delta^2 + 3)^2 + 4(3\Delta + \gamma(G))(\Delta - 2)}}{2(\Delta - 2)}$  when  $\Delta \ge 3$ .

By Quadratic Formula, we would rather show that

$$\gamma(G) \le (s(G) - \Delta)(\Delta s(G) - 2s(G) + 3).$$







#### By the observation, we have



## Because S is a safe set, we have



# Now, each part of the graph has its own dominating set



# By the result of Berg, we have $|D_i^j| \le |S_i| - \Delta$



C. Berge, Theory of Graphs and its Applicationa, Methuen, London, 1962.

Then, the union of all the dominating sets gives

$$\gamma(G) \leq (s(G) - \Delta)(\Delta s(G) - 2s(G) + 3).$$

The characterization of graphs achieving the equality

$$\gamma(G) = (s(G) - \Delta)(\Delta s(G) - 2s(G) + 3).$$

The class  $\mathcal{A}_1(\Delta, s)$ .

For given positive integers  $s > \Delta$ , a graph H in this class has order s and contains a vertex x of maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Further, H has the following properties.

(a)  $\gamma(H) = s - \Delta$ 

(b)  $H - N_H[x]$  is independent,

(c) every vertex in  $N_H(x)$  is adjacent to at most one vertex in  $H - N_H[x]$ ,

(*d*)  $|V(H) - N_H[x]| < \Delta$  and

(e) *H* has at least one bad non-critical vertex or at least one non-critical vertex (this vertex is not *x* by the characterization of graphs *H* satisfying  $\gamma(H) = |V(H)| - \Delta(H)$  which we always have a  $\gamma$ -set  $\{x\} \cup (V(G) - N_G[x])$  containing a vertex of maximum degree *x*).













 $H \in \mathcal{A}_1(\Delta, s)$ 

# The class $\mathcal{G}_1(\Delta, s)$ .

For given positive integers  $s > \Delta \ge 2$ , a graph G in this class is constructed from a wounded spider s(p,q) where s = 2p - q + 1 with the maximum degree vertex x and from  $\Delta s - 2s + 2$ distinct graphs from the class  $\mathcal{A}_1(\Delta, s)$  by joining vertices between components as follows.

Let  $x, a_1, ..., a_{p-q}, b_1, ..., b_{p-q}, c_1, ..., c_q$  be defined by the definition of s(p, q).

- (i) For all  $1 \leq i \leq p-q$ , join a vertex  $a_i$  to  $\Delta 2$  components  $F_i^1, F_i^2, ..., F_i^{\Delta-2} \in \mathcal{A}_1(\Delta, s)$  at a non-critical vertex  $f_i^1, f_i^2, ..., f_i^{\Delta-2}$  respectively. Further, each of  $f_i^j$  is not maximum degree vertex of  $F_i^j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq \Delta 2$ .
- (*ii*) For all  $1 \leq i \leq p-q$ , join a vertex  $b_i$  to  $\Delta -1$  components  $R_i^1, R_i^2, ..., R_i^{\Delta -1} \in \mathcal{A}_1(\Delta, s)$  at a non-critical bad vertex  $r_i^1, r_i^2, ..., r_i^{\Delta -1}$  respectively. Further, each of  $r_i^j$  is not maximum degree vertex of  $R_i^j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq \Delta 1$ .
Further, when q = 1,

(*iii*) join a vertex  $c_1$  to  $\Delta - 1$  components  $H_1^1, H_1^2, ..., H_1^{\Delta - 1} \in \mathcal{A}_1(\Delta, s)$  at a non-critical bad vertex  $h_1^1, h_1^2, ..., h_1^{\Delta - 1}$  respectively. Further, each of  $h_i^j$  is not maximum degree vertex of  $H_i^j$  for all  $1 \le j \le \Delta - 1$ .

When  $q \ge 2$ , for all  $1 \le i \le q$ , join a vertex  $c_i$  to  $\Delta - 1$  components  $H_i^1, H_i^2, ..., H_i^{\Delta - 1} \in \mathcal{A}_1(\Delta, s)$  at a vertex  $h_i^1, h_i^2, ..., h_i^{\Delta - 1}$  respectively, in such a way that :

- (*iv*) Each of  $h_i^j$  is not maximum degree vertex of  $H_i^j$  for all  $1 \le j \le \Delta 1$ .
- (v) At most one of these  $\Delta 1$  vertices  $h_i^1, h_i^2, ..., h_i^{\Delta 1}$  is critical.

(vi) There exists  $1 \leq i' \leq q$  such that  $c_{i'}$  is adjacent to all non-critical vertices  $h_{i'}^1, h_{i'}^2, ..., h_{i'}^{\Delta-1}$ .

(vii) There exists  $1 \leq i'' \leq q$  such that  $c_{i''}$  is adjacent to all bad vertices  $h_{i''}^1, h_{i''}^2, ..., h_{i''}^{\Delta-1}$ .









## The graph G satisfies the lower bound of Theorem 1

# if and only if

## $G \in \mathcal{G}_1(\Delta, s)$



#### By more or less similar arguments, we prove that

**Theorem 2** Let G be a connected graph. Then

$$g(\Delta) \le s_c(G) \le \lceil \frac{\gamma_c(G)(\Delta-1)+2}{2} \rceil$$

where 
$$g(\Delta) = \frac{\gamma_c(G)+2}{3}$$
 when  $\Delta = 2$  and  $g(\Delta) = \frac{\Delta - 5 + \sqrt{\Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 4(\Delta - 2)\gamma_c(G) + 9}}{2(\Delta - 2)}$  when  $\Delta \ge 3$ .

The upper bounds of both theorems are shown to be sharp. Further, we characterize all graphs satisfying the lower bound of each theorem.

Some extremal graphs satisfying the equality  $s_c(G) = \left[ (\gamma_c(G)(\Delta - 1) + 2)/2 \right]$  are



Some extremal graphs satisfying the equality  $s_c(G) = \left[ (\gamma_c(G)(\Delta - 1) + 2)/2 \right]$  are

### When $\gamma_c(G) = 2$ , n = $2\Delta$ and $\Delta$ is odd

$$C_n \langle 1, 3, \dots, \Delta \rangle$$



Some extremal graphs satisfying the equality  $s_c(G) = \left[ (\gamma_c(G)(\Delta - 1) + 2)/2 \right]$  are

When  $\gamma_c(G) = 2$ , n =  $2\Delta$  and  $\Delta$  is odd

$$C_n \langle 1, 3, \dots, \Delta \rangle$$



Some extremal graphs satisfying the equality  $s_c(G) = \left[ (\gamma_c(G)(\Delta - 1) + 2)/2 \right]$  are

When  $\gamma_c(G) = 2$ , n =  $2\Delta$  and  $\Delta$  is odd

$$C_n \langle 1, 3, \dots, \Delta \rangle$$



Some extremal graphs satisfying the equality  $s_c(G) = \left[ (\gamma_c(G)(\Delta - 1) + 2)/2 \right]$  are

0

When 
$$\gamma_c(G) = 2$$
 and  $n = 2\Delta$  and  $\Delta$  is even  
 $C_n \langle 1, 3, ..., \Delta - 1 \rangle$ 

Some extremal graphs satisfying the equality  $s_c(G) = \left[ (\gamma_c(G)(\Delta - 1) + 2)/2 \right]$  are

0

When 
$$\gamma_c(G) = 2$$
 and  $n = 2\Delta$  and  $\Delta$  is even  
 $C_n \langle 1, 3, ..., \Delta - 1 \rangle$ 

Lower bound



Lower bound















 $G \in \mathcal{G}_2(\Delta, s)$ 

Lower bound

### A graph G satisfies the lower bound of Theorem 2

if and only if

 $G \in \mathcal{G}_2(\Delta, s)$ 



### Thank you