# Constructing witnesses for non-spreading permutation groups 

Jesse Lansdown<br>School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Canterbury

45 ACC, 2023
Perth

## Synchronisation heirarchy

A permutation group satisfies:
spreading
$\Downarrow$
separating
$\Downarrow$
synchronising
$\Downarrow$
primitive

## Synchronisation heirarchy

A permutation group satisfies:

|  | Best defined by lack of a witness. |
| :---: | :---: |
| spreading | For example |
| $\Downarrow$ | - primitive: No $G$-invariant |
| separating | partition. |
| $\Downarrow$ | - imprimitive: $\exists G$-invariant |
| synchronising | partiton. |

## Synchronisation heirarchy

A permutation group satisfies:

|  | Best defined by lack of a witness. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spreading | imprimitive |  |
| $\Downarrow$ | For example | $\downarrow$ |
| separating | - primitive: No $G$-invariant | nonsynchronising |
| $\Downarrow$ | partition. | $\downarrow$ |
| synchronising | - imprimitive: $\exists G$-invariant | nonseparating |
| $\Downarrow$ | partiton. | $\downarrow$ |
| primitive |  | nonspreading |
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## Witnesses

Let $G$ be a permutation group acting on the set $\Omega$.

For the following properties, witnesses are given by:

- imprimitive: invariant partition
- non-synchronising: section-regular partition
- non-separating: set $A$, set $B$ s.t. $|A||B|=|\Omega|$ and $\left|A \cap B^{g}\right|=1, \forall g \in G$
- non-spreading: multiset $A$, set $B$ s.t. $|A|$ divides $|\Omega|$ and $\left|A \star B^{g}\right|=\lambda, \forall g \in G$


## Witnesses using graphs

Theorem
$G$ is non-synchronising if and only if there exists a non-trivial G-invariant graph $\Gamma$ such that $\omega(\Gamma)=\chi(\Gamma)$.

Theorem
$G$ is non-separating if and only if there exists a non-trivial G-invariant graph $\Gamma$ such that $\omega(\Gamma) \alpha(\Gamma)=|\Omega|$.


## Witnesses using graphs

What about spreading? No graph version!

Difficult to find witnesses due to lack of tools.

For example, Aráujo, Cameron, and Steinberg, comment in "Between primitive and 2-transitive: Synchronization and its friends" (2017) that
"Pablo Spiga was able to show that $\operatorname{PSp}(4, \mathrm{p})$ is non-spreading for $p=3,5,7$ by computational methods. The issue is unresolved in general."

## Hemisystems

Let $\mathcal{S}=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be an incidence structure. A hemisystem $H \subset \mathcal{P}$ is such that for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, precisely half the points of $B$ are in $H$.


G: Aut $(\mathcal{S})$ acting on points.
Witness: Hemisystem + point set of a line.

## The 'AB-Lemma'

Theorem (Bayens)
Let $\mathcal{S}=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I})$ be an incidence structure. Let $A$ and $B$ be two subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$ such that

1. $B$ is a normal subgroup of $A$,
2. $A$ and $B$ have the same orbits on $\mathcal{B}$,
3. each $A$-orbit on $\mathcal{P}$ splits into two $B$-orbits.

Then there are $2^{n}$ hemisystems admitting $B$, where $n$ is the number of $A$-orbits on $\mathcal{P}$.
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## An 'AB-Lemma' type result

Relaxations:

- Sufficient to be locally hemisystem-like
- "exactly half" $\rightarrow$ splits into pieces
- lines point-sets could be anything
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## Theorem (Bamberg, Giudici, JL, Royle, 2023+)

Let $G$ be a group acting on $\Omega$, and let $A$ and $B$ be subgroups of $G$ such that $B \triangleleft A$. Let $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k} \in \Omega$ with $k \geqslant 2$ such that $\omega_{i}^{B} \neq \omega_{j}^{B}$ for $i \neq j$, and $\omega_{1}^{A}=\omega_{1}^{B} \cup \omega_{2}^{B} \cup \ldots \cup \omega_{k}^{B}$. Let $X \subset \Omega$, and $\Delta=\left\{Y \in X^{G} \mid Y \cap \omega_{1}^{A} \neq \varnothing\right\}$. If the orbits of $A$ and $B$ on $\Delta$ are the same, then $\left(X, \Omega+k \omega_{1}^{B}-\omega_{1}^{A}\right)$ is a witness to $G$ being non-spreading (where $k \omega_{1}^{B}$ is the multiset corresponding to $\omega_{1}^{B}$ with each entry assigned multiplicity $k$ ).

An 'AB-Lemma' type result



Let $Z \in \Delta$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Z \cap \omega_{1}^{B}\right| & =\left|Z \cap\left(\omega_{i}^{a_{i}}\right)^{B}\right| & & \left(\omega_{i} \in \omega_{1}^{A}\right) \\
& =\left|Z \cap\left(\omega_{i}^{B}\right)^{a_{i}}\right| & & (B \triangleleft A) \\
& =\mid Z^{a_{i}^{-1} b_{i} \cap \omega_{i}^{B} \mid} & & \left(\Delta^{A}=\Delta^{B}\right) \\
& =\left|Z \cap \omega_{i}^{B}\right| . & &
\end{aligned}
$$

## An 'AB-Lemma' type result

If $Z \in X^{G} \backslash \Delta$ then $\left|Z \cap \omega_{i}^{B}\right|=0$ by definition of $\Delta$.
So $\left|X^{g} \cap \omega_{1}^{B}\right|=\left|X^{g} \cap \omega_{i}^{B}\right|$ for all $g \in G$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$.
Hence $k\left|X^{g} \cap \omega_{1}^{B}\right|=\left|X^{g} \cap \omega_{1}^{A}\right|$.
Let $W=\Omega+k \omega_{1}^{B}-\omega_{1}^{A}$. Then

- $X$ is a set
- $W$ is a non-trivial multiset (since $k>1$ )
- $|W|$ divides $|\Omega|$
- $\left|X^{g} \star W\right|=|\Omega|$ for all $g \in G$.

So $(X, W)$ is a witness to $G$ being non-spreading.

## Applications

With Bamberg, Giudici and Royle, I have applied this technique to find witnesses for many classical group actions. For example

Theorem (Bamberg, JL, Giudici, Royle, 2023 + )
For $r>1$ and even, the permutation groups arising from the action of $\operatorname{PSp}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{q})$, $\mathrm{PSU}\left(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$, and $\mathrm{PO}^{+}(2 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{q})$ on totally isotropic $\frac{r}{2}$-spaces are non-spreading.

It is also a small but important component in the following recent result.
Theorem (Bamberg, Freedman, Giudici, 2023+)
Primitive groups of diagonal type are non-spreading.
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