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## Extremal graphs

## Coauthor: Narjess Afzaly

In 1941, Turán proved that the most edges an $n$-vertex graph without $K_{r}$ can have is when it is a complete ( $r-1$ )-partite graph with near-equal sides.
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$K_{2,3,3}$, extreme for $K_{4}$

In general, if $\mathcal{H}$ is a collection of graphs,

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{H})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { the greatest number of edges that a graph on } \\
n \text { vertices can have without having a member } \\
\text { of } \mathcal{H} \text { as a subgraph. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Extremal graphs (continued)

We will make graphs by adding one vertex at a time.
Usually, for large orders there are extremely many $\mathcal{H}$-free graphs but relatively few with close to ex $(n, \mathcal{H})$ edges.

## Extremal graphs (continued)

We will make graphs by adding one vertex at a time.
Usually, for large orders there are extremely many $\mathcal{H}$-free graphs but relatively few with close to $\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{H})$ edges.

For example, graphs on 25 vertices with no $C_{4}$ or $C_{5}$.

| edges | graphs |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\ldots$ |  |
| 45 | 30651877057 |
| 46 | 895164804 |
| 47 | 15409643 |
| 48 | 176966 |
| 49 | 1799 |
| 50 | 17 |

## Extremal graphs (continued)

If we remove a vertex from an extremal graph, the resulting graph might not be extremal.

So in order to generate the extremal graphs by adding one vertex at a time, it is necessary to also generate non-extremal graphs.

The definition of "canonical reduction" (i.e., which vertex is removed to make the parent) is designed so that the ancestors of an extremal graph are reasonably close to extremal.

## Extremal graphs (continued)

If we remove a vertex from an extremal graph, the resulting graph might not be extremal.

So in order to generate the extremal graphs by adding one vertex at a time, it is necessary to also generate non-extremal graphs.

The definition of "canonical reduction" (i.e., which vertex is removed to make the parent) is designed so that the ancestors of an extremal graph are reasonably close to extremal.

This is done by a sequence of rules:

1. Choose a vertex of minimum degree.
2. If there is more than one vertex of minimum degree, choose one that is adjacent to the most other vertices of minimum degree.
3. Etc.
4. If no vertex is chosen by now, use nauty to choose one.

## Extremal graphs (continued)

Graphs are classified into families $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}\left(n, e, d, m, t ; d^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$, where

- $n=$ the number of vertices
- $e=$ the number of edges
- $d=$ the minimum degree
- $m=$ the number of vertices of minimum degree
- $t=$ whether there are any adjacent vertices of minimum degree
- $n-1, e-d, d^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, t^{\prime}=$ those same parameters for the parent


## Extremal graphs (continued)

Then a lot of lemmas are applied to identify possible parameters for the classes of the parent. For example, for $\mathcal{H}=\left\{C_{4}, C_{5}\right\}$, the parents of family $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(26,52,3,3, F ; 3,3, F)$ are calculated to lie in one of
$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(25,49,3,3, F ; 3,5, T)$,
$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(25,49,3,3, F ; 3,4, T)$,
$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(25,49,3,3, F ; 3,5, F)$, and
$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(25,49,3,3, F ; 3,4, F)$.

## Extremal graphs (continued)

Since the computation is very long-running and families
$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}\left(n, e, d, m, t ; d^{\prime}, m^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$
appear as ancestors for many different output sizes, we store each such family on disk using a custom compression method that usually needs only $1-2$ bytes per graph.

## Implementation

There is a controller program that decides which families are potentially needed as ancestors for a required output size, then makes the missing files using a multi-threaded worker process.
$\operatorname{ex}\left(n ;\left\{C_{4}, C_{5}\right\}\right)$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 12 |
| 10 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 33 | 35 |
| 20 | 38 | 42 | 43 | 45 | 48 | 50 | 53 | 55 | 58 | 62 |
| 30 | 65 | 67 | 70 | 73 | 77 | 79 | 82 | 86 | 89 | 93 |
| 40 | 96 | 100 | 105 | 107 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |

Typical construction path for an extremal graph with 43 vertices:
Key: vertices,edges, green means extremal.
$1,0,2,1,3,2,4,3,5,4,6,6,7,7,8,9,9,10,10,12,11,14,12,16,13,18$, $14,20,15,22,16,24,17,26,18,28,19,30,20,33,21,35,22,38,23,40$, $24,43,25,46,26,49,27,52,28,56,29,58,30,61,31,64,32,67,33,70$, $34,74,35,77,36,81,37,84,38,88,39,92,40,96,41,100,42,105,43,107$
$\operatorname{ex}\left(n ;\left\{C_{4}\right\}\right)$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| 10 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 36 | 39 | 42 |
| 20 | 46 | 50 | 52 | 56 | 59 | 63 | 67 | 71 | 76 | 80 |
| 30 | 85 | 90 | 92 | 96 | 102 | 106 | 110 | 113 | 117 | 122 |
| 40 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$e x\left(n ;\left\{C_{3}, C_{4}\right\}\right)$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| 10 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 26 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 38 |
| 20 | 41 | 44 | 47 | 50 | 54 | 57 | 61 | 65 | 68 | 72 |
| 30 | 76 | 80 | 85 | 87 | 90 | 95 | 99 | 104 | 109 | 114 |
| 40 | 120 | 124 | 129 | 134 | 139 | 145 | 150 | 156 | 162 | 168 |
| 50 | 175 | 176 | 178 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\operatorname{ex}\left(n ;\left\{C_{3}, C_{4}, C_{5}\right\}\right)$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 |
| 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 29 | 31 |
| 20 | 34 | 36 | 39 | 42 | 45 | 48 | 52 | 53 | 56 | 58 |
| 30 | 61 | 64 | 67 | 70 | 74 | 77 | 81 | 84 | 88 | 92 |
| 40 | 96 | 100 | 105 | 106 | 108 | 110 | 115 | 118 | 122 | 126 |
| 50 | 130 | 134 | 138 | 142 | 147 | 151 | 156 | 160 | 165 | 170 |
| 60 | 175 | 180 | 186 | 187 | 189 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\operatorname{ex}\left(n ;\left\{C_{4}, C_{\text {odd }}\right\}\right) \quad$ (Zarankiewicz problem)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 |
| 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 29 | 31 |
| 20 | 34 | 36 | 39 | 42 | 45 | 48 | 52 | 53 | 56 | 58 |
| 30 | 61 | 64 | 67 | 70 | 74 | 77 | 81 | 84 | 88 | 92 |
| 40 | 96 | 100 | 105 | 106 | 108 | 110 | 115 | 118 | 122 | 126 |
| 50 | 130 | 134 | 138 | 142 | 147 | 151 | 156 | 160 | 165 | 170 |
| 60 | 175 | 180 | 186 | 187 | 189 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\operatorname{ex}\left(n ;\left\{C_{4}, C_{\text {odd }}\right\}\right) \quad$ (Zarankiewicz problem)

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 |
| 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 29 | 31 |
| 20 | 34 | 36 | 39 | 42 | 45 | 48 | 52 | 53 | 56 | 58 |
| 30 | 61 | 64 | 67 | 70 | 74 | 77 | 81 | 84 | 88 | 92 |
| 40 | 96 | 100 | 105 | 106 | 108 | 110 | 115 | 118 | 122 | 126 |
| 50 | 130 | 134 | 138 | 142 | 147 | 151 | 156 | 160 | 165 | 170 |
| 60 | 175 | 180 | 186 | 187 | 189 |  |  |  |  |  |

Question: Is $\operatorname{ex}\left(n ;\left\{C_{4}, C_{\text {odd }}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{ex}\left(n ;\left\{C_{3}, C_{4}, C_{5}\right\}\right)$ for all $n$ ?

## Block designs
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$b=$ the number of blocks $=10$
$r=$ the number of blocks containing each point $=5$.
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For integers $v, k, \lambda$, a $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design is a collection of $k$-subsets ("blocks") of a set of $v$ "points", such that every pair of points lie in exactly $\lambda$ blocks.

For example,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\{\{0,2,3\},\{1,2,3\},\{0,1,4\},\{1,2,4\},\{0,3,4\} \\
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$$

is a $2-(6,3,2)$ design. Implied parameters are
$b=$ the number of blocks $=10$
$r=$ the number of blocks containing each point $=5$.

$$
b k=v r, \quad b\binom{k}{2}=\lambda\binom{v}{2}, \quad b \geq v
$$

## Incidence matrix

Consider our 2-( $6,3,2$ ) design:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{\{0,2,3\},\{1,2,3\},\{0,1,4\},\{1,2,4\},\{0,3,4\}, \\
& \{0,1,5\},\{0,2,5\},\{1,3,5\},\{2,4,5\},\{3,4,5\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Incidence matrix

Consider our 2-( $6,3,2$ ) design:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{\{0,2,3\},\{1,2,3\},\{0,1,4\},\{1,2,4\},\{0,3,4\} \\
\{0,1,5\},\{0,2,5\},\{1,3,5\},\{2,4,5\},\{3,4,5\}\}
\end{gathered}
$$

The incidence matrix is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Each point (row) is a vector in $\{0,1\}^{b}$, all row (point) sums are $r$, all column (block) sums are $k$, and all row inner products are $\lambda$.

## The project

Two designs $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are isomorphic if there is a bijection from the points of $D_{1}$ to the points of $D_{2}$ which maps the multiset of blocks of $D_{1}$ to the multiset of blocks of $D_{2}$.

An automorphism of a design is a permutation of the points which preserves the multiset of blocks; i.e. an isomorphism of the design to itself.

## The project

Two designs $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are isomorphic if there is a bijection from the points of $D_{1}$ to the points of $D_{2}$ which maps the multiset of blocks of $D_{1}$ to the multiset of blocks of $D_{2}$.

An automorphism of a design is a permutation of the points which preserves the multiset of blocks; i.e. an isomorphism of the design to itself.

The aim of the project is to compile complete lists of nonisomorphic $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs for as many parameter sets as possible, and make them available on the internet.

Almost all computations are done in duplicate, to enhance precision.
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Pruning of the search took into account additional information that could be calculated, such as the possible amounts by which two blocks can intersect. Also, when most of the rows are present, sometimes it is possible to tell that completion is impossible.

Ivanov applied the orderly method, whereby the partial designs are kept in a unique extremal form such that removing the last row from a extremal form gives the extremal form of the smaller partial design.
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McKay applied the canonical construction path method that gives each partial design a unique row such that removing the row gives the parent.
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In each case, the definitions are chosen so that many partial designs can be seen to never lead to a completed design.

Ivanov applied the orderly method, whereby the partial designs are kept in a unique extremal form such that removing the last row from a extremal form gives the extremal form of the smaller partial design.

McKay applied the canonical construction path method that gives each partial design a unique row such that removing the row gives the parent.

In each case, the definitions are chosen so that many partial designs can be seen to never lead to a completed design.

Determining the possibilities for the next row requires solving a set of integer inequalities. Information about the solutions for each row can be used to speed up the computation for additional rows.

Example: $v=9, k=3, \lambda=4, r=16, b=48$

| $\|A u t(D)\|$ | designs | simple | trans | simtrans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16534655 | 275 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 47286 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1127 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1450 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 221 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| 12 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| 24 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 72 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 80 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 108 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 384 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 432 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2880 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

16585031 designs generated (332 simple); 3476.64 sec
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Often the number of designs becomes too large with only a modest increase in the parameters:
$2-(8,3,12)$ : about $4 \times 10^{12}$ designs
$2-(9,4,9)$ : about $2 \times 10^{15}$ designs
$2-(15,3,2)$ : about $1.5 \times 10^{21}$ designs

