# Some Examples of Combinatorial Generation

### Brendan McKay Australian National University

and various colleagues to be mentioned...

In honour of Gordon's  $(61 + \delta)$ -th birthday

The Australian National University GPO Box 4, Canberra, ACT 2601 Telegrams & cables NATUNIV Canberra Telephone 062-49 5111 reference Telex AA 62760 NATUNI Dear Gordon, Here this. Tape details: Density: 1600 Labels : NONE Gole: ASCII Records: FIXed length 80 bytes, black till Blocks: Fixed length 800 bytes = 10 records Files # recards contents compile & load of these 110 nanty. L 1 together to me nantil.c 2 935 > dreadnant 3 748 nanty.c 4 897 dreadnant.c 50 5 - sample input for dreadnast test. dat cayleygraphs - Cayley graphs (as conveyed by Clayd 1322 transgraphs - transitive graphs to 18 points 1020 copies of files 1-7 8-14 Note that dreadnant is only similable for small tasks - you need to call ranty () yourself for unything serious. Please keep a log of all the problems you have running these programs, even the nost trivial. I'm trying to nake them as portable as passible. Good huck. Regards, Brendan

6

7

### CONSTRUCTING THE CUBIC GRAPHS ON UP TO 20 VERTICES

BY

BRENDAN D. MCKAY and GORDON F. ROYLE

February 1985

Brendan D. McKay Computer Science Department Australian National University Canberra AUSTRALIA

Gordon F. Royle Department of Mathematics University of Western Australia Nedlands Western Australia 6009

Example of triangle-free graphs.







## **Extremal graphs**

**Coauthor: Narjess Afzaly** 

In 1941, Turán proved that the most edges an *n*-vertex graph without  $K_r$  can have is when it is a complete (r-1)-partite graph with near-equal sides.

### Extremal graphs Coauthor: Narjess Afzaly

In 1941, Turán proved that the most edges an *n*-vertex graph without  $K_r$  can have is when it is a complete (r-1)-partite graph with near-equal sides.



 $K_{2,3,3}$ , extreme for  $K_4$ 

### Extremal graphs Coauthor: Narjess Afzaly

In 1941, Turán proved that the most edges an *n*-vertex graph without  $K_r$  can have is when it is a complete (r-1)-partite graph with near-equal sides.



 $K_{2,3,3}$ , extreme for  $K_4$ 

In general, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a collection of graphs,

 $ex(n, \mathcal{H}) = \begin{cases} \text{the greatest number of edges that a graph on} \\ n \text{ vertices can have without having a member} \\ \text{of } \mathcal{H} \text{ as a subgraph.} \end{cases}$ 

We will make graphs by adding one vertex at a time.

Usually, for large orders there are extremely many  $\mathcal{H}$ -free graphs but relatively few with close to  $e_X(n, \mathcal{H})$  edges.

We will make graphs by adding one vertex at a time.

Usually, for large orders there are extremely many  $\mathcal{H}$ -free graphs but relatively few with close to  $e_X(n, \mathcal{H})$  edges.

For example, graphs on 25 vertices with no  $C_4$  or  $C_5$ .

edges	graphs
•••	
45	30651877057
46	895164804
47	15409643
48	176966
49	1799
50	17

If we remove a vertex from an extremal graph, the resulting graph might not be extremal.

So in order to generate the extremal graphs by adding one vertex at a time, it is necessary to also generate non-extremal graphs.

The definition of "canonical reduction" (i.e., which vertex is removed to make the parent) is designed so that the ancestors of an extremal graph are reasonably close to extremal.

If we remove a vertex from an extremal graph, the resulting graph might not be extremal.

So in order to generate the extremal graphs by adding one vertex at a time, it is necessary to also generate non-extremal graphs.

The definition of "canonical reduction" (i.e., which vertex is removed to make the parent) is designed so that the ancestors of an extremal graph are reasonably close to extremal.

This is done by a sequence of rules:

- 1. Choose a vertex of minimum degree.
- 2. If there is more than one vertex of minimum degree, choose one that is adjacent to the most other vertices of minimum degree.
- 3. Etc.
- 4. If no vertex is chosen by now, use **nauty** to choose one.

Graphs are classified into families  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(n, e, d, m, t; d', m', t')$ , where

- *n* = the number of vertices
- e = the number of edges
- d = the minimum degree
- m = the number of vertices of minimum degree
- t = whether there are any adjacent vertices of minimum degree
- n-1, e-d, d', m', t' = those same parameters for the parent

Then a lot of lemmas are applied to identify possible parameters for the classes of the parent. For example, for  $\mathcal{H} = \{C_4, C_5\}$ , the parents of family  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(26, 52, 3, 3, F; 3, 3, F)$  are calculated to lie in one of

```
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(25, 49, 3, 3, F; 3, 5, T),
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(25, 49, 3, 3, F; 3, 4, T),
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(25, 49, 3, 3, F; 3, 5, F), and
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(25, 49, 3, 3, F; 3, 4, F).
```

Since the computation is very long-running and families  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(n, e, d, m, t; d', m', t')$ 

appear as ancestors for many different output sizes, we store each such family on disk using a custom compression method that usually needs only 1–2 bytes per graph.

#### Implementation

There is a controller program that decides which families are potentially needed as ancestors for a required output size, then makes the missing files using a multi-threaded worker process.

## $ex(n; \{C_4, C_5\})$

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	1	3	4	6	7	9	10	12
10	14	16	18	21	23	25	28	30	33	35
20	38	42	43	45	48	50	53	55	58	62
30	65	67	70	73	77	79	82	86	89	93
40	96	100	105	107	110					

Typical construction path for an extremal graph with 43 vertices: Key: vertices, edges, green means extremal.

1,0, 2,1, 3,2, 4,3, 5,4, 6,6, 7,7, 8,9, 9,10, 10,12, 11,14, 12,16, 13,18, 14,20, 15,22, 16,24, 17,26, 18,28, 19,30, 20,33, 21,35, 22,38, 23,40, 24,43, 25,46, 26,49, 27,52, 28,56, 29,58, 30,61, 31,64, 32,67, 33,70, 34,74, 35,77, 36,81, 37,84, 38,88, 39,92, 40,96, 41,100, 42,105, **43,107** 

# $ex(n; \{C_4\})$

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	1	3	4	6	7	9	11	13
10	16	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42
20	46	50	52	56	59	63	67	71	76	80
30	85	90	92	96	102	106	110	113	117	122
40	127									

# $ex(n; \{C_3, C_4\})$

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	1	2	3	5	6	8	10	12
10	15	16	18	21	23	26	28	31	34	38
20	41	44	47	50	54	57	61	65	68	72
30	76	80	85	87	90	95	99	104	109	114
40	120	124	129	134	139	145	150	156	162	168
50	175	176	178							

# $ex(n; \{C_3, C_4, C_5\})$

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	1	2	3	4	6	7	9	10
10	12	14	16	18	21	22	24	26	29	31
20	34	36	39	42	45	48	52	53	56	58
30	61	64	67	70	74	77	81	84	88	92
40	96	100	105	106	108	110	115	118	122	126
50	130	134	138	142	147	151	156	160	165	170
60	175	180	186	187	189					

# $ex(n; \{C_4, C_{odd}\})$ (Zarankiewicz problem)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	1	2	3	4	6	7	9	10
10	12	14	16	18	21	22	24	26	29	31
20	34	36	39	42	45	48	52	53	56	58
30	61	64	67	70	74	77	81	84	88	92
40	96	100	105	106	108	110	115	118	122	126
50	130	134	138	142	147	151	156	160	165	170
60	175	180	186	187	189					

# $ex(n; \{C_4, C_{odd}\})$ (Zarankiewicz problem)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	1	2	3	4	6	7	9	10
10	12	14	16	18	21	22	24	26	29	31
20	34	36	39	42	45	48	52	53	56	58
30	61	64	67	70	74	77	81	84	88	92
40	96	100	105	106	108	110	115	118	122	126
50	130	134	138	142	147	151	156	160	165	170
60	175	180	186	187	189					

Question: Is  $ex(n; \{C_4, C_{odd}\}) = ex(n; \{C_3, C_4, C_5\})$  for all *n*?

### **Block designs**

Coauthors: Daniel Heinlein, Andrei Ivanov, Patric Östergård

For integers  $v, k, \lambda$ , a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design is a collection of k-subsets ("blocks") of a set of v "points", such that every pair of points lie in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks.

### Block designs Coauthors: Daniel Heinlein, Andrei Ivanov, Patric Östergård

For integers  $v, k, \lambda$ , a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design is a collection of k-subsets ("blocks") of a set of v "points", such that every pair of points lie in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks.

For example,

$$\{\{0, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 3, 4\}, \\ \{0, 1, 5\}, \{0, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}\}$$

is a 2-(6, 3, 2) design. Implied parameters are

b = the number of blocks = 10

r = the number of blocks containing each point = 5.

#### Block designs Coauthors: Daniel Heinlein, Andrei Ivanov, Patric Östergård

For integers  $v, k, \lambda$ , a 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$  design is a collection of k-subsets ("blocks") of a set of v "points", such that every pair of points lie in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks.

For example,

$$\{\{0, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 3, 4\}, \\ \{0, 1, 5\}, \{0, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}$$

is a 2-(6, 3, 2) design. Implied parameters are

b = the number of blocks = 10 r = the number of blocks containing each pr

r = the number of blocks containing each point = 5.

$$bk = vr, \qquad b\binom{k}{2} = \lambda\binom{v}{2}, \qquad b \ge v.$$

#### **Incidence matrix**

```
Consider our 2-(6, 3, 2) design:
```

```
\{\{0, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 3, 4\}, \\ \{0, 1, 5\}, \{0, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}
```

#### **Incidence matrix**

Consider our 2-(6, 3, 2) design:  $\{\{0, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 4\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 3, 4\}, \{0, 1, 5\}, \{0, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}$ 

The incidence matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Each point (row) is a vector in  $\{0, 1\}^b$ , all row (point) sums are r, all column (block) sums are k, and all row inner products are  $\lambda$ .

## The project

Two designs  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection from the points of  $D_1$  to the points of  $D_2$  which maps the multiset of blocks of  $D_1$  to the multiset of blocks of  $D_2$ .

An automorphism of a design is a permutation of the points which preserves the multiset of blocks; i.e. an isomorphism of the design to itself.

## The project

Two designs  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection from the points of  $D_1$  to the points of  $D_2$  which maps the multiset of blocks of  $D_1$  to the multiset of blocks of  $D_2$ .

An automorphism of a design is a permutation of the points which preserves the multiset of blocks; i.e. an isomorphism of the design to itself.

The aim of the project is to compile complete lists of nonisomorphic  $2-(v, k, \lambda)$  designs for as many parameter sets as possible, and make them available on the internet.

Almost all computations are done in duplicate, to enhance precision.





Both methods worked by adding one row at a time, reducing the partial designs by isomorphism class.

Both methods worked by adding one row at a time, reducing the partial designs by isomorphism class.





Both methods worked by adding one row at a time, reducing the partial designs by isomorphism class.



Pruning of the search took into account additional information that could be calculated, such as the possible amounts by which two blocks can intersect. Also, when most of the rows are present, sometimes it is possible to tell that completion is impossible.

McKay applied the canonical construction path method that gives each partial design a unique row such that removing the row gives the parent.

McKay applied the canonical construction path method that gives each partial design a unique row such that removing the row gives the parent.

In each case, the definitions are chosen so that many partial designs can be seen to never lead to a completed design.

McKay applied the canonical construction path method that gives each partial design a unique row such that removing the row gives the parent.

In each case, the definitions are chosen so that many partial designs can be seen to never lead to a completed design.

Determining the possibilities for the next row requires solving a set of integer inequalities. Information about the solutions for each row can be used to speed up the computation for additional rows.

**Example:** v = 9, k = 3,  $\lambda = 4$ , r = 16, b = 48

Aut(D)	designs	simple	trans	simtrans
1	16534655	275	0	0
2	47286	37	0	0
3	1127	6	0	0
4	1450	4	0	0
6	221	5	0	0
8	171	0	0	0
9	8	1	8	1
12	38	0	0	0
16	26	1	0	0
18	10	1	10	1
24	14	1	0	0
32	8	0	0	0
40	1	0	0	0
48	6	0	0	0
54	3	1	3	1
72	1	0	1	0
80	1	0	0	0
108	2	0	2	0
384	1	0	0	0
432	1	0	1	0
2880	1	0	0	0

16585031 designs generated (332 simple); 3476.64 sec

### Results

https://zenodo.org/records/8303393

- 100 parameter sets
- 214 GB compressed

### Results

https://zenodo.org/records/8303393

100 parameter sets

214 GB compressed

We only provide complete compilations, though there is a program that can make them at "random".

### Results

https://zenodo.org/records/8303393

100 parameter sets

214 GB compressed

We only provide complete compilations, though there is a program that can make them at "random".

Often the number of designs becomes too large with only a modest increase in the parameters:

2-(8,3,12) : about  $4 \times 10^{12}$  designs 2-(9,4,9) : about  $2 \times 10^{15}$  designs 2-(15,3,2) : about  $1.5 \times 10^{21}$  designs