## Computing with the Monster Group (a public service announcement)

Tomasz Popiel

Monash University

#### joint work with Heiko Dietrich and Melissa Lee using software developed by Martin Seysen

#### ACC 2023

Typical theorem about "highly symmetric" combinatorial structures:

If S is some structure with a group G of automorphisms that acts with some symmetry property P, then (S, G) belongs to some list of examples.

Typical proof strategy:

- *P* restricts the structure of *G*;
- reduce to  $T \leq G \leq Aut(T)$  with T a non-abelian simple group;
- the CFSG tells you the candidates for T;
- the list of maximal subgroups of T tells you the candidates for (at least the overgroups of) the stabiliser of an 'element' of S.

Problem: the maximal subgroups of the non-abelian finite simple groups are not completely understood; a notorious case is the Monster.

The Monster,  $\mathbb{M}$ , is the largest of the 26 sporadic finite simple groups.

Existence predicted by Fischer and Griess (1973), as a simple group with certain involution centralisers (2. $\mathbb{B}$  and 2<sup>1+24</sup>.Co<sub>1</sub>). It follows that

 $|\mathbb{M}| \ = \ 2^{46}.3^{20}.5^{9}.7^{6}.11^{2}.13^{3}.17.19.23.29.31.41.47.59.71 \ \approx \ 8 \times 10^{53}.$ 

It was also predicted that  $\mathbb{M}$  has an irreducible complex representation of dimension 196883. This gave the character table (Fischer et al. 1979).

Griess (1982) finally constructed  $\mathbb{M}$  as the automorphism group of a certain commutative, non-associative algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^{196884}$ .

Uniqueness was proved by Griess, Meierfrankenfeld and Segev (1989).

Later: other descriptions (Moonshine), presentations.

Every maximal subgroup of  $\mathbb{M}$  is the normaliser of a direct product H of isomorphic simple groups. Two cases:

- *H* is an elementary abelian *p*-group (the "*p*-local" case), or
- *H* is a direct product of isomorphic non-abelian simple groups.

An incomplete list appeared in the Atlas (1985), without proofs.

The *p*-local case was formally dealt with later:

- p = 2 Meierfrankenfeld and Shpectorov (2002–2003);
- *p* = 3 Wilson (1988);
- $p \ge 5$  due to Norton but published by Wilson (1988).

Norton and Wilson (1998–2002) then began work on non-local maximals, reducing the unclassified simple subgroups of  $\mathbb{M}$  to 19 partially open cases.

#### Unsettled cases, per Norton–Wilson (2002)

Group	Class fusions		
$L_2(7)$	2B, 3C, 4, 7B		
$A_6$	2B, 3B, 3B, 4, 5B		
$L_{2}(8)$	2 <i>B</i> , 3 <i>B</i> , 7 <i>B</i> , 9		
$L_{2}(11)$	2B, 3B/B/C, 5B, 6B/E/F, 11A		
$L_2(13)$	2B, 3B/B/C, 6B/E/F, 7B, 13A		
$L_{2}(17)$	2B, 3B, 4, 8, 9, 17A		
$L_{2}(19)$	2B, 3B, 5B, 9, 19A		
$L_{2}(16)$	2B, 3B/C, 5B, 15C/D, 17A		
$L_{3}(3)$	2B, 3A/B/B, 3C, 4, 6C/B/E, 8, 13		
	2B, 3B, 3B, 4, 6B/E, 8, 13A		
$U_{3}(3)$	2B, 3A/B/B, 3B, 4, 4C, 6C/B/E, 7A, 8, 12		
	2B, 3A/B/B, 3C, 4, 4, 6C/B/E, 7B, 8, 12		
$M_{11}$	2B, 3B, 4D, 5B, 6B/E, 8F, 11A		
$L_2(27)$	2B, 3B, 7B, 13, 14C		
$L_2(31)$	2B, 3B, 4C, 5B, 8A/E, 15C, 16A/B, 31AB		
$L_{3}(4)$	2B, 3B, 4C, 4C, 4C, 5B, 7A		
$U_4(2)$	2B, 2B, 3B, 3B, 3B, 4, 4D, 5B, 6, 6, 6, 6, 9, 12		
Sz(8)	2B, 4, 5B, 7, 13		
$U_{3}(4)$	2B, 3C, 4, 5B, 5B, 10D/E, 13, 15D		
$L_2(71)$	2B, 3B, 4C, 5B, 6E, 7B, 9B, 12I, 18D, 35B, 36D, 71AB		
$U_{3}(8)$	2B, 3A/A/C, 3B, 4, 4, 4, 6C/C/F, 7A, 9A/B/A, 19A, 21A/A/C		

TABLE 3. Class fusions not yet eliminated.

Note. Alternatives where given should be read in parallel. For example, an  $L_2(11)$  is of type (3B, 6B) or (3B, 6E) or (3C, 6F).

### Computation in $\mathbb M,$ à la Holmes and Wilson

Many remaining cases required computation in  $\mathbb M,$  which was problematic:

- the smallest faithful matrix representation has dimension 196882;
- $\bullet$  the smallest faithful permutation representation has degree  $\approx 10^{20}.$

Holmes and Wilson (2003) constructed  $\mathbb{M}$  computationally by restricting its 196882-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -module to an involution centraliser  $2^{1+24}$ .Co<sub>1</sub> (and adjoining a certain extra element, with a different representation).

Ignoring the details (!), the main point is that 196882  $\times$  196882 matrices can be built from smaller pieces. They found further maximal subgroups

$$L_2(19):2, L_2(29):2, L_2(59), L_2(71).$$

Norton and Wilson (2013) also found a new maximal subgroup  $L_2(41)$ ; some additional cases were handled theoretically by Wilson (2016–17).

At this point (based on some 15 papers!) it was known that any further maximal subgroup of  $\mathbb M$  must be almost simple with socle

```
L_2(8), L_2(13), L_2(16), \text{ or } U_3(4).
```

Wilson (2016–2017) reported that all cases apart from  $L_2(13)$  had been eliminated, but proofs never appeared.

We decided to try our luck at settling these cases, beginning with  $L_2(13)$ .

Problem: Holmes and Wilson's computer construction was slow, and (more to the point) essentially impossible for anyone else to reproduce (not implemented in GAP/Magma, nor even publicly available).

### A new computer construction of $\mathbb{M}$ : mmgroup

Meanwhile, we had learned of a new computer construction of  $\mathbb{M}$  due to Seysen<sup>1</sup> (2020+), which is much faster than previous implementations:

An implementation [14] based on that idea multiplies two random elements of  $\mathbb{M}$  in a bit less than 50 milliseconds on a standard PC with an Intel i7-8750H CPU at 4 GHz. This is about 100000 times faster than estimated by Wilson [15] in 2013.

Elements of  $\mathbb{M}$  are represented as words in generators for a certain 'large' subgroup of a 2*B*-involution centraliser  $G_{x0} \cong 2^{1+24}$ .Co<sub>1</sub>, plus a certain extra element. (Similar idea/different implementation to Holmes–Wilson.)

The details are complicated (conceptually, and in terms of code), but Seysen's main new idea is an efficient word-shortening algorithm:

So we may reconstruct an element g of  $\mathbb{M}$  as a word in the generators of  $\mathbb{M}$  from the images of three fixed vectors in the representation  $\rho$  under the action of g. It suffices if these three fixed vectors  $(v_1, v^+, v^-)$  are known modulo 15. This leads to an extremely fast word shortening algorithm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/Martin-Seysen/mmgroup (written in Python; freely available)

Some things that you can do in mmgroup (besides the group operation):

- Calculate the order of an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{M}$ .
- Conjugate any involution into the centraliser G<sub>x0</sub> ≅ 2<sup>1+24</sup>.Co<sub>1</sub> of a distinguished 2B-involution computation in G<sub>x0</sub> is especially fast.
- Calculate certain character values of an arbitrary element of  $G_{x0}$ .
- Select random elements from  $\mathbb{M}$ ,  $G_{x0}$ , and certain subgroups of  $G_{x0}$ .

Some things that you can't do in any easy way (but that we need to do):

- Construct centralisers/conjugate elements within an arbitrary class.
- Construct the normaliser of e.g. a cyclic subgroup.
- Determine character values of elements outside of  $G_{\times 0}$ .
- Construct a subgroup from a set of generators.
- Select random elements from such a subgroup.

#### Theorem (Dietrich, Lee, Popiel; 2023+)

The Monster has

- a unique class of maximal subgroups that are almost simple with socle L<sub>2</sub>(13) these are isomorphic to Aut(L<sub>2</sub>(13)) = L<sub>2</sub>(13):2;
- a unique class of maximal subgroups that are almost simple with socle U<sub>3</sub>(4) these are isomorphic to Aut(U<sub>3</sub>(4)) = U<sub>3</sub>(4):4;
- no maximal subgroups that are almost simple with socle  $L_2(8)$  or  $L_2(16)$ .

#### Corollary

The classification of the maximal subgroups of  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{M}}$  is complete.

# Proof strategy — $L_2(13)$ case

 $G = L_2(13)$  is generated by subgroups 13:6 and  $D_{12}$  intersecting in the 6.

Wilson (2015) implies that all elements of order 13 in G must lie in  $\mathbb{M}$ -class "13A", so first find some  $g_{13} \in 13A$ . (This is already hard.)

Construct  $N_{\mathbb{M}}(\langle g_{13} \rangle) \cong ((13:6) \times L_3(3)).2$ , and thereby construct all  $\mathbb{M}$ -classes of subgroups 13:6 containing  $g_{13}$ . There are five of them.

For each 13:6, find all involutions  $i_2$  that invert an element  $g_6$  of order 6, so that  $\langle g_6, i_2 \rangle \cong D_{12}$ . This is done via random search in  $N_{\mathbb{M}}(\langle g_6 \rangle)$ , which is constructed by projecting its overgroup  $C_{\mathbb{M}}(g_6^3) \cong 2^{1+24}$ . Co<sub>1</sub> to Co<sub>1</sub> < GL<sub>24</sub>(2) in Magma using some 'hidden' functionality in mmgroup.

Check each involution to see whether it extends 13:6 to  $G = L_2(13)$ . If so, check whether G has trivial centraliser (if not, then G is not maximal).

One class of  $L_2(13)$  with trivial centraliser arises — find an extra generator that extends it to a maximal subgroup  $L_2(13)$ :2 of  $\mathbb{M}$ .

### Generators for a maximal $L_2(13)$ :2 < $\mathbb{M}$

- g13 = MM("M<y\_519h\*x\_0cb8h\*d\_3abh\*p\_178084032\*l\_2\*p\_2344320\*l\_2\*p\_471482\*l\_1\*t\_1\*l\_ 2\*p\_2830080\*l\_2\*p\_22371347\*l\_2\*t\_2\*l\_1\*p\_1499520\*l\_2\*p\_22779365\*l\_2\*t\_1\*l\_2\*p\_ 2597760\*l\_1\*p\_11179396\*t\_1\*l\_1\*p\_1499520\*l\_2\*p\_85838017\*t\_2\*l\_1\*p\_1499520\*l\_1\*p\_ 64024721\*t\_2\*l\_2\*p\_2385560\*l\_2\*p\_21335269\*)
- g6 = MM('Mxy\_764h\*x\_590h\*d\_0bf6h\*p\_63465756+l\_1\*p\_24000\*l\_2\*p\_528432\*t\_1\*l\_2\*p\_ 1457280\*l\_1\*p\_23214136\*l\_1\*t\_2\*l\_2\*p\_2344320\*l\_2\*p\_13038217\*l\_2\*t\_1\*l\_2\*p\_ 2956800\*l\_1\*p\_85332887\*t\_2\*l\_2\*p\_2830080\*l\_2\*p\_85335745\*t\_2\*l\_2\*p\_1900800\*l\_2\*p\_ 13472\*t\_2\*l\_2\*p\_2386560\*l\_2\*p\_85413728\*t\_1\*l\_2\*p\_2856560\*l\_2\*p\_53803593\*')
- i2 = MM(\*Mxy\_6ch\*x\_7ch\*d\_52ah\*p\_115885662\*l\_2\*p\_2787840\*l\_2\*p\_12552610\*l\_2\*t\_1\*l\_2\*p\_ \_1900800\*l\_2\*p\_31998118\*l\_2\*t\_2\*l\_2\*p\_80762880\*l\_1\*p\_243091248\*l\_2\*t\_1\*l\_2\*p\_ 2597760\*l\_1\*p\_42794439\*t\_1\*l\_1\*p\_1394880\*l\_2\*p\_64015152\*t\_1\*l\_1\*p\_2027520\*l\_1\*p\_ 177984\*t\_1\*l\_2\*p\_7943230\*l\_1\*p\_161927136\*\*)

LISTING 6. Generators  $g_{13}$ ,  $g_6$ ,  $i_2$ , and  $a_{12}$  for a maximal subgroup of  $\mathbf{M}$  isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(13)$ :2 in mmgroup format; see also Proposition 3.5 and [12]. Note that  $g_{13}$  is the same element as in Listing 5, and that  $g_6 = y_6 x_6$  with  $y_6$  and  $x_6$  as in Listing 5.

$2 \cdot \mathbf{B}$	$(7:3 \times \text{He}):2$	$(\mathrm{PSL}_2(11) \times \mathrm{PSL}_2(11)):4$
$2^{1+24} \cdot Co_1$	$(A_5 \times A_{12}):2$	$13^2:2PSL_2(13).4$
$3 \cdot Fi_{24}$	$5^{3+3} \cdot (2 \times \mathrm{PSL}_3(5))$	$(7^2:(3 \times 2A_4) \times PSL_2(7)).2$
$2^{2.2}E_6(2):S_3$	$(A_6 \times A_6 \times A_6).(2 \times S_4)$	$(13:6 \times PSL_3(3)).2$
$2^{10+16} \cdot P\Omega_{10}^+(2)$	$(A_5 \times PSU_3(8):3):2$	$13^{1+2}:(3 \times 4S_4)$
$2^{2+11+22} \cdot (M_{24} \times S_3)$	$5^{2+2+4}:(S_3 \times GL_2(5))$	$PSU_{3}(4):4$
$3^{1+12} \cdot 2 \cdot \text{Suz:} 2$	$(PSL_3(2) \times PSp_4(4):2) \cdot 2$	$PSL_2(71)$
$2^{5+10+20} \cdot (S_3 \times PSL_5(2))$	$7^{1+4}:(3  imes 2S_7)$	$PSL_2(59)$
$S_3 \times Th$	$(5^2:[2^4] \times PSU_3(5)).S_3$	$11^2:(5 \times 2A_5)$
$2^{3+6+12+18} \cdot (PSL_3(2) \times 3S_6)$	$(PSL_2(11) \times M_{12}):2$	$PSL_2(41)$
$3^8 \cdot P\Omega_8^-(3).2$	$(A_7 \times (A_5 \times A_5):2^2):2$	$PSL_{2}(29):2$
$(D_{10} \times HN) \cdot 2$	$5^4:(3 \times 2 \cdot \text{PSL}_2(25)):2$	$7^2:SL_2(7)$
$(3^2:2 \times P\Omega_8^+(3)) \cdot S_4$	$7^{2+1+2}$ :GL <sub>2</sub> (7)	$PSL_2(19):2$
$3^{2+5+10}.(M_{11} \times 2S_4)$	${ m M}_{11}  imes { m A}_6 \ 2^2$	$PSL_2(13):2$
$3^{3+2+6+6}$ :(PSL <sub>3</sub> (3) × SD <sub>16</sub> )	$(S_5 \times S_5 \times S_5):S_3$	41:40
$5^{1+6}:2:J_2:4$		