Combinatorial results for certain semigroups of contraction mappings of a finite chain

A. Umar (Erdös Number: 4)¹

¹Department of Mathematics Khalifa University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi, UAE delivered at the 45th Australasian Combinatorics Conference held at The University of Western Australia, Perth

11 - 15th December 2023

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Abstract

- Historical Background
- The Symmetric Inverse Monoid/Semigroup

- Combinatorial Results and Problems
- Concluding Remarks
- Bibliography

Abstract

Historical Background

The Symmetric Inverse Monoid/Semigroup

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- Combinatorial Results and Problems
- Concluding Remarks

Bibliography

Abstract

- Historical Background
- The Symmetric Inverse Monoid/Semigroup

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Combinatorial Results and Problems
- Concluding Remarks
- Bibliography

Abstract

- Historical Background
- The Symmetric Inverse Monoid/Semigroup

- Combinatorial Results and Problems
- Concluding Remarks
- Bibliography

Abstract

- Historical Background
- The Symmetric Inverse Monoid/Semigroup

- Combinatorial Results and Problems
- Concluding Remarks
- Bibliography

Abstract

- Historical Background
- The Symmetric Inverse Monoid/Semigroup

- Combinatorial Results and Problems
- Concluding Remarks
- Bibliography

A general systematic study of the monoids/semigroups of partial contractions of a finite chain and their various subsemigroups of order-preserving/order-reversing and/or order-decreasing transformations was initiated in 2013 supported by a grant from The Research Council of Oman (TRC).

- A general systematic study of the monoids/semigroups of partial contractions of a finite chain and their various subsemigroups of order-preserving/order-reversing and/or order-decreasing transformations was initiated in 2013 supported by a grant from The Research Council of Oman (TRC).
- Our aim in this talk is to present the results obtained so far by the presenter and his co-authors as well as others. Broadly, speaking the results can be divided into two groups: algebraic and combinatorial enumeration.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- A general systematic study of the monoids/semigroups of partial contractions of a finite chain and their various subsemigroups of order-preserving/order-reversing and/or order-decreasing transformations was initiated in 2013 supported by a grant from The Research Council of Oman (TRC).
- Our aim in this talk is to present the results obtained so far by the presenter and his co-authors as well as others. Broadly, speaking the results can be divided into two groups: algebraic and combinatorial enumeration.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

► The algebraic results show that these semigroups are nonregular (left) abundant semigroups (for n ≥ 4) whose Green's relations admit a nontrivial characterization.

- A general systematic study of the monoids/semigroups of partial contractions of a finite chain and their various subsemigroups of order-preserving/order-reversing and/or order-decreasing transformations was initiated in 2013 supported by a grant from The Research Council of Oman (TRC).
- Our aim in this talk is to present the results obtained so far by the presenter and his co-authors as well as others. Broadly, speaking the results can be divided into two groups: algebraic and combinatorial enumeration.
- ► The algebraic results show that these semigroups are nonregular (left) abundant semigroups (for n ≥ 4) whose Green's relations admit a nontrivial characterization.
- The combinatorial enumeration results show links with Fibonacci numbers, Motzkin numbers and sequences some of which are in the encyclopedia of integers sequences (OEIS).

Recall that a group is a set G with an operation *, satisfying the following:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

1.
$$\forall x, y \in G, x * y \in G;$$

2. $\forall x, y, z \in G, (x * y) * z = x * (y * z);$
3. $\exists e \in G \text{ with } e * x = x * e, \forall x \in G.$
4. $\forall x \in G, \exists x^{-1} \in G \text{ such that}$
 $x^{-1} * x = x * x^{-1} = e.$

A semigroup is a set S with an operation *, satisfying (1) and (2) above. If it satisfies (3) also then it become a monoid.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

There are many classes of semigroups (much more than groups and rings) the most notable of which are: von Neumann regular, inverse, orthodox, eventually regular, group bound, bands and semibands. Others are: abundant, adequate, ample, quasi adequate, nil, nilpotent, band, semibands and idempotent-free semigroups.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Some examples:

- (Z, +) is a group / monoid / semigroup.
- (Z, \times) is NOT a group but a monoid.
- ▶ (N, +) is NOT a group NOR a monoid but a semigroup.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Some examples:

- (Z, +) is a group / monoid / semigroup.
- ► (Z, ×) is NOT a group but a monoid.
- ▶ (N, +) is NOT a group NOR a monoid but a semigroup.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Some examples:

- (Z, +) is a group / monoid / semigroup.
- (Z, \times) is NOT a group but a monoid.
- (N, +) is NOT a group NOR a monoid but a semigroup.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Some examples:

- (Z, +) is a group / monoid / semigroup.
- (Z, \times) is NOT a group but a monoid.
- (N, +) is NOT a group NOR a monoid but a semigroup.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Further Examples:

- I(X) is NOT a group but a monoid THE SYMMETRIC INVERSE SEMIGROUP/MONOID.
- ▶ $B = \{(m, n) | m, n \in N\}$ with multiplication (m, n) * (p, q) = (m - n + t, q - p + t), where $t = \max(n, p)$ is NOT a group but a monoid - THE BICYCLIC MONOID.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Further Examples:

- I(X) is NOT a group but a monoid THE SYMMETRIC INVERSE SEMIGROUP/MONOID.
- ▶ $B = \{(m, n) | m, n \in N\}$ with multiplication (m, n) * (p, q) = (m - n + t, q - p + t), where $t = \max(n, p)$ is NOT a group but a monoid - THE BICYCLIC MONOID.

Further Examples:

- I(X) is NOT a group but a monoid THE SYMMETRIC INVERSE SEMIGROUP/MONOID.
- ▶ $B = \{(m, n) | m, n \in N\}$ with multiplication (m, n) * (p, q) = (m - n + t, q - p + t), where $t = \max(n, p)$ is NOT a group but a monoid - THE BICYCLIC MONOID.

For a given (partial) mapping or transformation $\alpha: Y \subseteq X \to X\alpha \subseteq X$, we denote its domain by $Dom \alpha$, its image set or range by $Im \alpha$ and its set of fixed points by $F(\alpha)$. If $Dom \alpha = X$ then α is called a *full* or *total* transformation, otherwise it is *strictly* partial.

For a given (partial) mapping or transformation $\alpha: Y \subseteq X \to X\alpha \subseteq X$, we denote its domain by $Dom \alpha$, its image set or range by $Im \alpha$ and its set of fixed points by $F(\alpha)$. If $Dom \alpha = X$ then α is called a *full* or *total* transformation, otherwise it is *strictly* partial.

The set of all (partial) transformations of X, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(X)$, is known as the *partial symmetric semigroup/monoid*.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

For a given (partial) mapping or transformation $\alpha: Y \subseteq X \to X\alpha \subseteq X$, we denote its domain by $Dom \alpha$, its image set or range by $Im \alpha$ and its set of fixed points by $F(\alpha)$. If $Dom \alpha = X$ then α is called a *full* or *total* transformation, otherwise it is *strictly* partial.

The set of all (partial) transformations of X, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(X)$, is known as the *partial symmetric semigroup/monoid*.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The set of all full transformations of X, denoted by $\mathcal{T}(X)$, is known as the *full symmetric semigroup*.

The set of all partial one-to-one (more appropriately, two-to-two) mappings of X, denoted by $\mathcal{I}(X)$, is known as the *symmetric inverse semigroup*. Partial one-to-one maps are also known as *subpermutations*. [Cameron and Deza, 1978].

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

The set of all partial one-to-one (more appropriately, two-to-two) mappings of X, denoted by $\mathcal{I}(X)$, is known as the *symmetric inverse semigroup*. Partial one-to-one maps are also known as *subpermutations*. [Cameron and Deza, 1978].

This class of semigroups and its subsemigroups provide us with a rich source of 'natural' examples. However, they are worth studying in their right as naturally occurring (mathematical) objects. [Howie, 1987].

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Cayley's Theorem (1854/1870)

Every group G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group acting on G.

Cayley's Theorem (Semigroup Version)

Every inverse semigroup S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse semigroup acting on S.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Cayley's Theorem (1854/1870)

Every group G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group acting on G.

Cayley's Theorem (Semigroup Version)

Every inverse semigroup S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse semigroup acting on S.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that
 |E(I_n)| = 2ⁿ (trivial);

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• Let X be an *n*-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents $(e^2 = e)$ of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$|E(\mathcal{I}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

$$|\mathcal{I}_n| = \sum_{p=0}^n {\binom{n}{p}}^2 p! \text{ (not trivial).}$$

Let X be an *n*-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents $(e^2 = e)$ of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$\blacktriangleright |E(\mathcal{I}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

$$\models |\mathcal{I}_n| = \sum_{p=0}^n {\binom{n}{p}}^2 p! \text{ (not trivial).}$$

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

Let X be an *n*-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents $(e^2 = e)$ of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$\blacktriangleright |E(\mathcal{I}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

$$\models |\mathcal{I}_n| = \sum_{p=0}^n {\binom{n}{p}}^2 p! \text{ (not trivial).}$$

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

►
$$|N(\mathcal{I}_n)| = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} {n \choose p} {n-1 \choose p} p! = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} |L(n, n-p)|.$$

Let X be an *n*-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents $(e^2 = e)$ of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

$$\blacktriangleright |E(\mathcal{I}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

$$\models |\mathcal{I}_n| = \sum_{p=0}^n {\binom{n}{p}}^2 p! \text{ (not trivial).}$$

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

►
$$|N(\mathcal{I}_n)| = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} {n \choose p} {n-1 \choose p} p! = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} |L(n, n-p)|.$$

Let a_n denote the number of partial derangements (i.e., without fixed points) of *I*_n. Then

Let X be an *n*-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents $(e^2 = e)$ of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

$$\blacktriangleright |E(\mathcal{I}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

$$|\mathcal{I}_n| = \sum_{p=0}^n {n \choose p}^2 p! \text{ (not trivial).}$$

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

►
$$|N(\mathcal{I}_n)| = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} {n \choose p} {n-1 \choose p} p! = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} |L(n, n-p)|.$$

Let a_n denote the number of partial derangements (i.e., without fixed points) of *I*_n. Then

•
$$a_n = n! \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \binom{n-m}{j} \frac{1}{j!}.$$

Let X be an *n*-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents $(e^2 = e)$ of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

$$\blacktriangleright |E(\mathcal{I}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

$$|\mathcal{I}_n| = \sum_{p=0}^n {n \choose p}^2 p! \text{ (not trivial).}$$

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

►
$$|N(\mathcal{I}_n)| = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} {n \choose p} {n-1 \choose p} p! = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} |L(n, n-p)|.$$

Let a_n denote the number of partial derangements (i.e., without fixed points) of *I*_n. Then

•
$$a_n = n! \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \binom{n-m}{j} \frac{1}{j!}$$
.
• In fact,

Let X be an *n*-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents $(e^2 = e)$ of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

$$\blacktriangleright |E(\mathcal{I}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

$$\models |\mathcal{I}_n| = \sum_{p=0}^n {\binom{n}{p}}^2 p! \text{ (not trivial).}$$

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

►
$$|N(\mathcal{I}_n)| = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} {n \choose p} {n-1 \choose p} p! = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} |L(n, n-p)|.$$

Let a_n denote the number of partial derangements (i.e., without fixed points) of *I*_n. Then

•
$$a_n = n! \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \binom{n-m}{j} \frac{1}{j!}.$$

In fact,

►
$$a_n = (2n-1)a_{n-1} - (n-1)(n-3)a_{n-2} - (n-1)(n-2)a_{n-2}$$
,

Let X be an *n*-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents $(e^2 = e)$ of S. Then from Laradji and Umar [19] we see that

•
$$|E(\mathcal{I}_n)| = 2^n$$
 (trivial);

$$\models |\mathcal{I}_n| = \sum_{p=0}^n {\binom{n}{p}}^2 p! \text{ (not trivial).}$$

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

►
$$|N(\mathcal{I}_n)| = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} {n \choose p} {n-1 \choose p} p! = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} |L(n, n-p)|.$$

Let a_n denote the number of partial derangements (i.e., without fixed points) of *I*_n. Then

•
$$a_n = n! \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \binom{n-m}{j} \frac{1}{j!}.$$

In fact,

•
$$a_n = (2n-1)a_{n-1} - (n-1)(n-3)a_{n-2} - (n-1)(n-2)a_{n-2}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

• with $a_0 = 1$.

• Let S be a subsemigroup (or even a subset) of \mathcal{I}_n .



• Let S be a subsemigroup (or even a subset) of \mathcal{I}_n .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

As in Umar [20] define

- Let S be a subsemigroup (or even a subset) of \mathcal{I}_n .
- As in Umar [20] define
- ► $F_{kmp}(n; k, m, p) = |\{\alpha \in S | w(\alpha) = k, f(\alpha) = m, h(\alpha) = p, \}|$ where, $w(\alpha) = max(\operatorname{Im} \alpha), f(\alpha) = |F(\alpha)|$ and $h(\alpha) = |\operatorname{Im} \alpha|.$

- Let S be a subsemigroup (or even a subset) of \mathcal{I}_n .
- As in Umar [20] define
- ► $F_{kmp}(n; k, m, p) = |\{\alpha \in S | w(\alpha) = k, f(\alpha) = m, h(\alpha) = p, \}|$ where, $w(\alpha) = max(\operatorname{Im} \alpha), f(\alpha) = |F(\alpha)|$ and $h(\alpha) = |\operatorname{Im} \alpha|$.
- Ideally, we would like to compute F_{kmp} = F(n; k, m, p) for any S.

Two important lemmas:

Two important lemmas:

Lemma

[20, Lemma 2.1] Let $X_n = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $P = \{p, m, k\}$, where for a given $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$, we set $p = h(\alpha)$, $m = f(\alpha)$ and $k = w^+(\alpha)$. We also define F(n; k) = F(n; p, k) = 1 if k = p = 0. Then we have the following:

- 1. $n \ge k \ge p \ge m \ge 0;$ 2. $k = 1 \Rightarrow p = 1;$
- 3. $p = 0 \Leftrightarrow k = 0$.

Let c(n; p) be the number of surjective partial derangements $\alpha : X_n \longrightarrow Y_p = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. Then from [19] we see that

$$c(n:p) = p! \sum_{j=0}^{p} {n-j \choose p-j} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}.$$

Let c(n; p) be the number of surjective partial derangements $\alpha : X_n \longrightarrow Y_p = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. Then from [19] we see that

$$c(n:p) = p! \sum_{j=0}^{p} {n-j \choose p-j} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}.$$

Lemma

[19, Theorem 1] Let c(n; p) and F(n; k, m, p) be as defined above. Then

$$F(n; k, m, p) = \binom{k-1}{p-1} \binom{p}{m} c(n-m; p-m).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

Table-1	
In	[19, 20, 21]

Table-1	
In	[19, 20, 21]
F(n; k, m)	$\sum_{p=0}^{k} {\binom{k-1}{p-1} \binom{p}{m} c(n-m;p-m)}$

Table-1	
In	[19, 20, 21]
F(n; k, m)	$\sum_{p=0}^{k} {\binom{k-1}{p-1} \binom{p}{m} c(n-m;p-m)}$
F(n; k, p)	$\binom{n}{p}\binom{k-1}{p-1}p!$

Table-1	
[19, 20, 21]	
$\sum_{p=0}^{k} {\binom{k-1}{p-1}} {\binom{p}{m}} c(n-m;p-m)$	
$\binom{n}{p}\binom{k-1}{p-1}p!$	
$\frac{n!}{m!(n-p)!} \sum_{j=0}^{p-m} {n-m-j \choose p-m-j} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!}$	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Table-1	
[19, 20, 21]	
$\sum_{p=0}^{k} {\binom{k-1}{p-1}} {p \choose m} c(n-m;p-m)$	
$\binom{n}{p}\binom{k-1}{p-1}p!$	
$\frac{n!}{m!(n-p)!} \sum_{j=0}^{p-m} {\binom{n-m-j}{p-m-j}} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!}$	
$\sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{n}{p} \binom{k-1}{p-1} p!$	

Table-1	
In	[19, 20, 21]
F(n; k, m)	$\sum_{p=0}^{k} {\binom{k-1}{p-1} \binom{p}{m} c(n-m;p-m)}$
F(n; k, p)	$\binom{n}{p}\binom{k-1}{p-1}p!$
F(n; m, p)	$\frac{n!}{m!(n-p)!} \sum_{j=0}^{p-m} {n-m-j \choose p-m-j} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!}$
F(n; k)	$\sum_{\rho=0}^{k} \binom{n}{\rho} \binom{k-1}{p-1} p!$
F(n; m)	$\frac{\frac{n!}{m!}\sum_{i=0}^{n-m}\frac{(-1)^{i}}{i!}\sum_{j=0}^{n-i}\binom{n-1}{j}\frac{1}{j!}}{\frac{1}{j!}}$

Table-1	
In	[19, 20, 21]
F(n; k, m)	$\sum_{p=0}^{k} {\binom{k-1}{p-1}} {p \choose m} c(n-m;p-m)$
F(n; k, p)	$\binom{n}{p}\binom{k-1}{p-1}p!$
F(n; m, p)	$\frac{n!}{m!(n-p)!} \sum_{j=0}^{p-m} {n-m-j \choose p-m-j} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!}$
F(n; k)	$\sum_{p=0}^{k} \binom{n}{p} \binom{k-1}{p-1} p!$
F(n; m)	$\frac{n!}{m!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \binom{n-1}{j} \frac{1}{j!}$
F(n; p)	$\binom{n}{p}^2 p!$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be a *contraction* mapping if (for all $x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $|x - y| \ge |x\alpha - y\alpha|$. The semigroup of all subpermutation contractions of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{OCI}_n .

A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be *order-preserving* if (for all $x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $x \leq y$ implies $x\alpha \leq y\alpha$. The semigroup of all order-preserving subpermutations of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{OI}_n . A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be *order-decreasing* if (for all $x \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $x\alpha \leq x$. The semigroup of all order-decreasing subpermutations of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{DI}_n .

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Let $\mathcal{OCL}_n = \mathcal{OL}_n \cap \mathcal{CL}_n$; $\mathcal{ODCL}_n = \mathcal{OCL}_n \cap \mathcal{DL}_n$

A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be a *contraction* mapping if (for all $x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $|x - y| \ge |x\alpha - y\alpha|$. The semigroup of all subpermutation contractions of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{OCI}_n . A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be *order-preserving* if (for all $x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $x \le y$ implies $x\alpha \le y\alpha$. The semigroup of all order-preserving subpermutations of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{OI}_n . A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be *order-decreasing* if (for all $x \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $x\alpha \le x$. The semigroup of all order-decreasing subpermutations of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{DI}_n . Let $\mathcal{OCI}_n = \mathcal{OI}_n \cap \mathcal{CI}_n$; $\mathcal{ODCI}_n = \mathcal{OCI}_n \cap \mathcal{DI}_n$.

A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be a *contraction* mapping if (for all $x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $|x - y| \ge |x\alpha - y\alpha|$. The semigroup of all subpermutation contractions of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{OCI}_n . A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be *order-preserving* if (for all $x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $x \le y$ implies $x\alpha \le y\alpha$. The semigroup of all order-preserving subpermutations of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{OI}_n . A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be *order-decreasing* if (for all $x \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $x\alpha \le x$. The semigroup of all order-decreasing subpermutations of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{DI}_n .

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Let $\mathcal{OCI}_n = \mathcal{OI}_n \cap \mathcal{CI}_n$; $\mathcal{ODCI}_n = \mathcal{OCI}_n \cap \mathcal{DI}_n$.

A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be a *contraction* mapping if (for all $x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $|x - y| \ge |x\alpha - y\alpha|$. The semigroup of all subpermutation contractions of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{OCI}_n . A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be *order-preserving* if (for all $x, y \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $x \le y$ implies $x\alpha \le y\alpha$. The semigroup of all order-preserving subpermutations of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{OI}_n . A subpermutation $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_n$ is said to be *order-decreasing* if (for all $x \in \text{Dom } \alpha$) $x\alpha \le x$. The semigroup of all order-decreasing subpermutations of X_n is denoted by \mathcal{DI}_n . Let $\mathcal{OCI}_n = \mathcal{OI}_n \cap \mathcal{CI}_n$; $\mathcal{ODCI}_n = \mathcal{OCI}_n \cap \mathcal{DI}_n$.

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $\models |E(\mathcal{OCI}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- $\models |E(\mathcal{OCI}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$
- ► $|\mathcal{OCI}_n| = \frac{3n-1}{5}F_{2n} \frac{n-5}{5}F_{2n+1}$ [6, Theorem 2.9].

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

- $\blacktriangleright |E(\mathcal{OCI}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$
- $|\mathcal{OCI}_n| = \frac{3n-1}{5}F_{2n} \frac{n-5}{5}F_{2n+1}$ [6, Theorem 2.9].
- Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\models |E(\mathcal{OCI}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

•
$$|\mathcal{OCI}_n| = \frac{3n-1}{5}F_{2n} - \frac{n-5}{5}F_{2n+1}$$
 [6, Theorem 2.9].

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

$$\blacktriangleright |N(\mathcal{OCI}_n)| = ?.$$

Table-2	
\mathcal{OCI}_n	[6]

Table-2	
OCIn	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
L	

Table-2	
OCI _n	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m, p) F(n; k, m)	?

Table-2	
OCI _n	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?

Table-2	
OCI _n	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?
F(n; m, p)	$\binom{n+p-m-2}{2p-m} + 2\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m}$

Table-2	
OCI _n	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?
F(n; m, p)	$\binom{n+p-m-2}{2p-m} + 2\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m}$
F(n; k)	?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = のへで

Table-2	
OCIn	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?
F(n; m, p)	$\binom{n+p-m-2}{2p-m} + 2\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m}$
F(n; k)	?
F(n; m)	$\sum_{p=0}^{n} \{ \binom{n+p-m-2}{2p-m} + 2\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m} \}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

Table-2	
OCIn	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?
F(n; m, p)	$\binom{n+p-m-2}{2p-m} + 2\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m}$
F(n; k)	?
F(n; m)	$\sum_{p=0}^{n} \left\{ \binom{n+p-m-2}{2p-m} + 2\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m} \right\}$
F(n; p)	$n\binom{n+p-1}{2p-1} - (p-1)\binom{n+p}{2p}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $\models |E(\mathcal{ODCI}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- $\models |E(\mathcal{ODCI}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$
- $|ODCI_n| = F_{2n+1}$ [6, Theorem 3.6].

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- $\blacktriangleright |E(\mathcal{ODCI}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$
- $|\mathcal{ODCI}_n| = F_{2n+1}$ [6, Theorem 3.6].
- Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

Let X be an n-set and let E(S) denote the set of idempotents (e² = e) of S. Then

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\models |E(\mathcal{ODCI}_n)| = 2^n \text{ (trivial)};$$

•
$$|\mathcal{ODCI}_n| = F_{2n+1}$$
 [6, Theorem 3.6].

Let N(S) denote the set of nilpotents (aⁿ = 0 for some positive integer n) of S. Then

$$|N(\mathcal{ODCI}_n)| = F_{2n-1}.$$

Table-3	
$ODCI_n$	[6]

Table-3	
<i>ODCI</i> _n	[6]
$\frac{\mathcal{ODCI}_n}{F(n; k, m, p)}$?

Table-3	
$ODCI_n$	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
$\frac{F(n; k, m, p)}{F(n; k, m)}$?

Table-3	
$ODCI_n$	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?

Table-3	
[6]	
?	
?	
?	
$\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m} (m < p); \binom{n}{m} (m = p)$	

Table-3	
ODCI _n	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?
F(n; m, p)	$\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m} (m < p); \binom{n}{m} (m = p)$
F(n; k)	?

Table-3	
ODCI _n	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?
F(n; m, p)	$\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m} (m < p); \binom{n}{m} (m = p)$
F(n; k)	?
F(n; m)	$\sum_{p=0}^{n} \left\{ \binom{n+p-m-2}{2p-m} + 2\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m} \right\}$

Table-3	
ODCI _n	[6]
F(n; k, m, p)	?
F(n; k, m)	?
F(n; k, p)	?
F(n; m, p)	$\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m}$ $(m < p); \binom{n}{m}$ $(m = p)$
F(n; k)	?
F(n; m)	$\sum_{p=0}^{n} \left\{ \binom{n+p-m-2}{2p-m} + 2\binom{n+p-m-1}{2p-m} \right\}$
F(n; p)	$\binom{n+p}{2p}$

Further Subsemigroups

Let X be a POSET.

Subsemigroups of order-preserving (reversing) transformations

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Subsemigroups of order-decreasing (increasing) transformations (Umar 1992, PhD thesis)
- Subsemigroups of orientation-preserving (reversing) transformations

Further Subsemigroups Let X be a POSET.

Subsemigroups of order-preserving (reversing) transformations

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- Subsemigroups of order-decreasing (increasing) transformations (Umar 1992, PhD thesis)
- Subsemigroups of orientation-preserving (reversing) transformations

Further Subsemigroups Let X be a POSET.

Subsemigroups of order-preserving (reversing) transformations

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- Subsemigroups of order-decreasing (increasing) transformations (Umar 1992, PhD thesis)
- Subsemigroups of orientation-preserving (reversing) transformations

Further Subsemigroups Let X be a POSET.

Subsemigroups of order-preserving (reversing) transformations

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- Subsemigroups of order-decreasing (increasing) transformations (Umar 1992, PhD thesis)
- Subsemigroups of orientation-preserving (reversing) transformations

Further Subsemigroups Let X be a POSET.

Subsemigroups of order-preserving (reversing) transformations

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Subsemigroups of order-decreasing (increasing) transformations (Umar 1992, PhD thesis)
- Subsemigroups of orientation-preserving (reversing) transformations

- A.D. Adeshola, and A. Umar, Combinatorial results for certain semigroups of order-preserving full contraction mappings of a finite chain. JCMCC. 106 (2017), 34–49.
- F. Al-Kharousi, G.U. Garba, M.J. Ibrahim, A.T. Imam, and A. Umar, On the semigroup of finite order-preserving partial injective contraction mappings. (*Submitted*).
- F. Al-Kharousi, R. Kehinde, and A. Umar, Combinatorial results for certain semigroups of partial isometries of a finite chain. *Australas. J. Combin.* **58** (2014), 365–375.
- F. Al-Kharousi, R. Kehinde, and A. Umar, Combinatorial results for certain semigroups of order-decreasing partial isometries of a finite chain. *Journal of Algebra, Number Theory: Advances and Applications.* **19**(1) (2018), 29–49.
- Alnadabi, W., Some combinatorial results in the full and partial symmetric semigroups and their sets of idempotents, MSc. thesis, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman (2015).

B. M. Ahmed, N. Al-Dhamri, F. Al-Kharousi, G. Klein and A. Umar, Combinatorial results for order-preserving partial injective contraction mappings. *Contributions to Discrete Math.* (Accepted.)

- D. Borwein, S. Rankin, and L. Renner, Enumeration of injective partial transformations. *Discrete Math.* 73 (1989), 291–296.
- Comtet, L., Advanced Combinatorics: the art of finite and infinite expansions, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland, (1974).
- V.H. Fernandes, The monoid of all injective orientation-preserving partial transformations on a finite chain. *Comm. Algebra* 28 (2000), 3401–3426.
- Ganyushkin, O. and Mazorchuk, V., *Classical Finite Transformation Semigroups: An Introduction*, Springer, London, (2009).

- G.U. Garba, Nilpotents in semigroups of partial one-to-one order-preserving mappings. *Semigroup Forum* **48** (1994), 37–49.
- J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory.* London Mathematical Society Monographs. New series, **12**. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- A. Laradji and A. Umar, Combinatorial results for the symmetric inverse semigroup. Semigroup Forum 75 (2007), 221–236.
- Laradji, A. and Umar, A., Some combinatorial properties of the symmetric monoid. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation21* (No.6) (2011) 857–865.
- S. Lipscomb, Symmetric Inverse Semigroups, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 46. American mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1996.

- O. A. Ojo, F. Al-Kharousi, and A. Umar, On the number of idempotent partial contraction mappings of a finite chain. Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics. 11(3) (2021), 94–101.
- Riordan J., Combinatorial Identities, (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968).
- Sloane, N. J. A. (Ed.), *The online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, 2011. Available at http://oeis.org/.
- A. Umar, On the semigroups of partial one-to-one order-decreasing finite transformations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A*, **123** (1993), 355–363.
- A. Umar, Some combinatorial problems in the theory of symmetric inverse semigroups. *Algebra Discrete Math.* 9 (2010), 115–126.
 - Umar A., Some combinatorial problems in the theory of partial transformation semigroups, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Journal*, Volume 17 (2014) 110–134.

Favourite Quote

Whenever you can, count.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Favourite Quote

Whenever you can, count. —Sir Francis Galton.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Vote of thanks

THANK YOU ALL!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ