Polytopes with minimal number of edges

Guillermo Pineda-Villavicencio, Jie Wang, David Yost^{*}





CRICOS Provider No. 00503D

What I'm doing now

For a fixed dimension d, can we characterise all pairs

(v, e)

for which there is a *d*-polytope with v vertices and e edges? d = 3 (Steinitz; 1906)

Theorem

There is a 3-polytope with v vertices and e edges if and only if

$$\frac{3}{2}v\leqslant e\leqslant 3v-6.$$

d = 4 (Grünbaum; 1967)

Theorem

There is a 4-polytope with v vertices and e edges if and only if

$$2\mathbf{v} \leqslant \mathbf{e} \leqslant \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $(v, e) \neq (6, 12), (7, 14), (10, 20)$ or (8, 17).

d = 4 (Grünbaum; 1967)

Theorem

There is a 4-polytope with v vertices and e edges if and only if

$$2\mathbf{v} \leqslant \mathbf{e} \leqslant \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $(v, e) \neq (6, 12), (7, 14), (10, 20)$ or (8, 17).

A polytope is **simple** if the degree of every vertex is equal to the dimension, i.e. if

$$dv = 2e$$
.

Why are there no simple 4-polytopes with 6, 7 or 10 vertices?

Denote by $\Delta_{m,n}$ the (direct) sum of an *m*-dimensional simplex Δ_m and an *n*-dimensional simplex Δ_n .

If P is a simple d-polytope with v vertices, then either

$$v = d + 1$$
; *P* is a simplex Δ_d

$$v = 2d$$
; P is a prism $\Delta_{1,d-1}$

$$v = 3d - 3$$
; P is $\Delta_{2,d-2}$

v = 3d - 1; P is J_d (cut one vertex from a prism) or 3-cube

$$v = 4d - 8$$
; *P* is $\Delta_{3,d-3}$

or v >all the preceding numbers.

Simple polytopes with more than 4d - 8 vertices:

$$v = 4d - 7; \ \Delta_{4,4}, \ J_6$$

$$v = 4d - 6; \ \Delta_{4,5}, \ J_5$$

$$v = 4d - 5; \ \Delta_{4,6}, \ J_4$$

$$v = 4d - 4; \ \Delta_{4,7}, \ \Delta_{5,5}, \ \Delta_{1,1,d-2}, \ \text{cut} \ \Delta_{2,d-2}$$

d = 5 (independently by Kusunoki/Murai and Pineda-Villavicencio/Ugon/Yost)

Theorem

There is a 5-polytope with v vertices and e edges if and only if either

2e = 5v (i.e. simple) and $v \neq 8$, or

$$\frac{1}{2}(5\nu+3)\leqslant e\leqslant \binom{\nu}{2}$$

and $(v, e) \neq (9, 25), (13, 35).$

In particular, e cannot equal $\frac{1}{2}(5v+1)$ or $\frac{1}{2}(5v+2)$. Why? Define the excess degree of a vertex as its degree minus the dimension, and the excess degree of a polytope as the sum of the excess degrees of its vertices. The excess degree of a polytope is also equal to 2e - dv.

Theorem

(Pineda-Villavicencio/Ugon/Yost) The excess degree of a nonsimple d-polytope is at least d - 2. Equivalently, there are no d-polytopes with excess degree in the range [1, d - 3].

Idea of the proof: Suppose two facets F_1 and F_2 of a *d*-polytope *P* intersect in a face *K* which is not a ridge. If *k* is the dimension of *K*, then every vertex in *K* has at least d - 1 - k neighbors in $F_1 \setminus K$, at least d - 1 - k neighbors in $F_2 \setminus K$ and at least *k* neighbors in *K*. Thus each such vertex has excess degree at least d - k - 2. Since *K* has at least k + 1 vertices, the excess degree of *P* is at least (k + 1)(d - k - 2), and this expression is at least d - 2 for *k* in the range [0, d - 3]. This *almost* establishes the Excess Theorem; the case when every two facets are either disjoint or intersect in a ridge requires separate treatment.

The excess degree is an important and natural concept, which encapsulates a lot of information about polytopes.

The excess degree is an important and natural concept, which encapsulates a lot of information about polytopes. It tells us that many values of (v, e) are not attained by any polytope.

And much more.

Theorem (*Pineda-Villavicencio/Ugon/Yost*) If a *d*-polytope has d + k vertices, and $k \leq d$, then its excess degree is at least

$$(k-1)(d-k),$$

and there is only one polytope with this minimal excess degree. If in addition $k \ge 4$, then every other such polytope has excess degree at least

$$(k-1)(d-k)+4.$$

In particular, there is no 4-polytope with 8 vertices and 17 edges, and there is no 5-polytope with 9 vertices and 25 edges.

The next result says that nonsimple vertices are gregarious.

Theorem

If a nonsimple vertex in a d-polytope has excess degree k, then it has at least d - k - 2 nonsimple neighbors.

This gives the alternative proof of the "Excess Theorem".

The minimum nonsimple value d - 2 implies a special structure.

Theorem

(Pineda-Villavicencio/Ugon/Yost) Any d-polytope P with excess exactly d - 2 either

- 1. has a unique nonsimple vertex, which is the intersection of two facets, or
- has d 2 vertices of excess degree one, which form a (d 3)-simplex which is the intersection of two facets.

In particular, all nonsimple vertices have the same degree.

Polytopes with excess d - 2 and not many vertices can be completely characterised.

Theorem

If P is a d-polytope with v vertices and excess d - 2, then either

1.
$$v = d + 2$$
; P is a $(d - 2)$ -fold pyramid over a square;

2. v = 2d
$$-1$$
; P is pyramid over a prism $\Delta_{1,d-2}$

3.
$$v = 2d + 1$$
; P is a pentasm

4.
$$v = 3d - 2$$
; *P* is C_d , Σ_d , N_d or A_4

5.
$$v = 3d - 1$$
 and $d = 4$ (three examples)

6. or $v \ge 3d$.

For excess d - 1, there is almost no restriction on the number of vertices, but severe restrictions on the dimension.

For excess d - 1, there is almost no restriction on the number of vertices, but severe restrictions on the dimension.

Theorem

Excess d - 1 is possible only when d = 3 or 5. Again, all nonsimple vertices have the same degree. When d = 5, the nonsimple vertices form a face; either a single vertex, an edge, or a quadrilateral 2-face.

In both dimensions, v can be any even number from d + 3 onwards.

In contrast, excess d places severe restrictions on the number of vertices, but no restriction on the dimension.

In contrast, excess d places severe restrictions on the number of vertices, but no restriction on the dimension.

Theorem

Let P be a d-polytope with excess d.

- 1. If $d \ge 7$, P is obtained by concatenating two simple polytopes along a simplex facet. In particular, P has d vertices with excess degree 1.
- 2. If d = 5, P is obtained by concatenating two simple polytopes along either a simplex facet or a pentagonal 2-face. Again, P has d vertices with excess degree 1.
- 3. If d = 6 and all nonsimple vertices have the same degree and they form a face.
- 4. If $d \neq 4$ or 6, then either v = d + 2, v = 2d + 1 or $v \ge 3d$.

In contrast, excess d places severe restrictions on the number of vertices, but no restriction on the dimension.

Theorem

Let P be a d-polytope with excess d.

- 1. If $d \ge 7$, P is obtained by concatenating two simple polytopes along a simplex facet. In particular, P has d vertices with excess degree 1.
- 2. If d = 5, P is obtained by concatenating two simple polytopes along either a simplex facet or a pentagonal 2-face. Again, P has d vertices with excess degree 1.
- 3. If d = 6 and all nonsimple vertices have the same degree and they form a face.
- 4. If $d \neq 4$ or 6, then either v = d + 2, v = 2d + 1 or $v \ge 3d$.

This gives a new proof of the nonexistence of a 5-polytope with 13 vertices and 35 edges.

For excess d + 1, there is almost no restriction on the number of vertices, but severe restrictions on the dimension.

For excess d + 1, there is almost no restriction on the number of vertices, but severe restrictions on the dimension.

Theorem

Excess d + 1 is possible only when d = 3, 5 or 7. If d = 3 or 5, v can be any even number from d + 3 onwards. If d = 7, the value v = 14 is excluded.

Excess d + 2 is possible in all dimensions, but generally with a severe restriction on the number of vertices.

Excess d + 2 is possible in all dimensions, but generally with a severe restriction on the number of vertices.

Theorem

Suppose a d-polytope has excess d + 2 where $d \ge 9$. Then v = d + 2.

For the next range of values, the classification could not be simpler.

For the next range of values, the classification could not be simpler.

Theorem No *d*-polytope whatsover has excess degree in the range [d + 3, 2d - 7].

Finally, examples with excess 2d - 6 are numerous.

Theorem

Fix d; then in any d-polytope with excess 2d - 6, all nonsimple vertices have the same degree, either 1, 2, d - 3 or 2d - 6. Such examples exist for all sufficiently large values of v, and also for the small values

d + 3, 2d - 2, 2d + 2, 3d - 5, 3d - 3, 3d - 1, 3d + 1, 4d - 6, 4d.

We conjecture that excess 2d - 5 is only possible if d = 3, 5 or 7. For higher values, the situations appears chaotic. There is a d-polytope with excess 2d - 4 in which the subgraph of nonsimple vertices is not even connected, let alone a face. If we fix f_0 , the question of minimising ξ is the same as minimising f_1 . For $d + 3 \le f_0 \le 2d - 2$, the minimum value of the excess degree is at least 2d - 6 > d - 2.

$$\min\{\xi(P): f_0 = d + k, k \le d\} = (k-1)(d-k).$$

For 2d + 1 vertices, we have

$$\min\{\xi(P): f_0 = 2d + 1\} = d - 2$$

except when d = 4. For 2d + 2 vertices, we have

$$\min\{\xi(P): f_0 = 2d + 2\} = 2d - 6$$

except in some low dimensions.

If we fix f_0 , the question of minimising ξ is the same as minimising f_1 . For $d + 3 \le f_0 \le 2d - 2$, the minimum value of the excess degree is at least 2d - 6 > d - 2.

$$\min\{\xi(P): f_0 = d + k, k \le d\} = (k-1)(d-k).$$

For 2d + 1 vertices, we have

$$\min\{\xi(P): f_0 = 2d + 1\} = d - 2$$

except when d = 4. For 2d + 2 vertices, we have

$$\min\{\xi(P): f_0 = 2d + 2\} = 2d - 6$$

except in some low dimensions. **Problem**: what about 2d + 3 vertices? $2d + 4 \dots$? Theorem

Let P be a d-dimensional polytope with d + k vertices, where $0 < k \le d$.

(i) If P is a (d - k)-fold pyramid over the k-dimensional prism based on a simplex, then P has $\phi(d + k, d)$ edges.

(ii) Otherwise P has $> \phi(d + k, d)$ edges.

(iii) Furthermore, P has at least d - k nonsimple vertices, with equality only if P is a M(k, d - k)-triplex



FIGURE 1. Triplices



FIGURE 2. Pentasms

Theorem

Let P be a d-dimensional polytope with d + k vertices, where $0 < k \le d$.

(i) If P is a (d - k)-fold pyramid over the k-dimensional prism based on a simplex, then P has $\phi(d + k, d)$ edges.

(ii) Otherwise P has $> \phi(d + k, d)$ edges.

(iii) Furthermore, P has at least d - k nonsimple vertices, with equality only if P is a M(k, d - k)-triplex

The polytope described in (i) will be denoted M(k, d - k). The proof depends on the identity

$$\phi(d+k-n,d-1)+nd-\binom{n}{2}=\phi(d+k,d)+(k-n)(n-2).$$

Note that if there are *n* vertices of a polytope lying outside a given facet, they must belong to at least $nd - \binom{n}{2}$ edges, and the facet must by induction contain sat least $\phi(d + k - n, d - 1)$ edges. Observe also that if *P* had strictly more than 2k simple vertices, then it would have strictly more than $\phi(d + k, d)$ edges.



Minimizers of the number of edges, for polytopes with no more than *2d* vertices



Minimizers of the number of edges, for polytopes with 2d+1 vertices

Polytopes with 2d+2 vertices with minimal number of edges



The 4-polytopes with ten vertices and 21 edges.

- B. Grünbaum, *Convex Polytopes*, Second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 221, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003,
- T. Kusunoki & S. Murai, *The Numbers of Edges of 5-Polytopes*, Ann. Comb. **23** (2019), no. 1, 89–101
- G. Pineda-Villavicencio, J. Ugon & D. Yost, *The Excess degree of a polytope*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **32** (2018), no. 3, 2011–2046,
- G. Pineda-Villavicencio, J. Ugon & D. Yost, *Lower bound theorems for general polytopes*, European J. Combin. **79** (2019), 27–45,